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# Annual Assessment Report <br> Adult and Graduate Studies <br> 2005-2006 <br> Cynthia Tweedell, Ph.D. Associate Dean for Institutional Effectiveness 

## Executive Summary

The highlights of assessment and accreditation activities for FY 2005-06 include:

- BSM Program Review included pre/post testing, alumni surveys and review of student work. We compared online and on site outcomes and indicated areas for curriculum revision. (see pp.6-8.)
- Ohio Board of Regents made a site visit to review our requests to add Associate Degrees in Computer Information Technology and Accounting in Ohio.
- CACREP made a site visit to review the Graduate Counseling Programs.
- Unit Assessment System for Masters of Education was completed.
- Highlights of Departmental Assessment
o Business and Management (see pp. 5-9):
- Implementation of assessment test for BSM
- Alumni survey of ASB and BSM Graduates
- Review of selected ASB and BSM student papers
- Changes based on assessment include:
- Curricular and sequencing changes
- Addition of content threads in the MBA
o Graduate Education (see pp. 11-18):
- Transition to Teaching Effectiveness Review indicates that the IWU program produces teachers that are as effective (and in many cases more effective) than traditional programs.
- Changes based on assessment include:
- Curricular changes
- Additional faculty training in some areas
o RN-BS Completion Program (see pp. 19-21)
- Review of selected student papers
- Refinement of the Portfolio Assessment System
- Changes based on assessment include:
- Curricular changes
- Additional faculty training
o Liberal Arts and Electives (see p. 22 ):
- Review of student papers for Christian world view and communication skills.
- Changes based on assessment include:
- Revision of ENG140-141 curricula
o Graduate Studies in Counseling (see p. 28 )
- Review of student papers
- Self Study for CACREP Re-Accreditation
- Changes based on assessment include:
- Curricular changes
- More emphasis on APA style
o Graduate Nursing (see p. 26 )
- Benchmarking study of graduating students
- Changes based on assessment:
- Policy changes
- Curricular changes
o Graduate Studies in Ministry (see p. 23 )
- Changes based on assessment include:
- Curricular revision based on student feedback
o Doctorate in Organizational Leadership (see p. 30 )
- Changes based on assessment include:
- Course revision based on student/faculty feedback.


## Progress on Assessment Goals for 2005-06

Dr. Cynthia Tweedell, Associate Dean for Institutional Effectiveness, with the help of Chau Jackson, Assessment Specialist for APS, brought assessment to a higher level as student enrollments climbed. Here are the goals for 05-06 with notes on the progress on each:

1. Organize Assessment Reports on Pearl so they are easily accessible to Directors. Done. All reports are now on Pearl and accessible to directors.
2. Further development of web based surveying so that more students are completing surveys online and reports are more quickly and easily accessed by directors. Done. There are now 24 online surveys including End of Course, End of Program, and Entrance Surveys
3. Program Reviews for
a. BSM (comparing outcomes online with onsite)

Completed, including alumni surveys, pre/post testing and review of student papers.
b. ASB (comparing outcomes online with onsite)

Alumni survey completed. Review of selected student papers on Christian world view and communications.
c. BS-Marketing

Alumni Survey completed. Review of student papers is deferred to next year because of a vacancy in the Director of Bachelor of Business Programs for half of the year.
4. Make End of Course Survey processing more efficient so there is a two week turn around between the time surveys are received and reports are ready for Directors.
A new system tracking the volume and progress of survey reports indicates the average time to process End of Course Surveys is now 3 business days.
5. Implement an End of Program Survey which incorporates the Spiritual Assessment Survey.
Done. An End of Program Survey is now part of all programs in CAPS. It has not been completely implemented in online programs yet.
6. Implement assessment in all service areas: Financial Aid, Student Services, Advising, Chaplaincy, Accounting, Resources, Sites
Done. There are now Assessment Plans implemented for Advising, Prior Learning Assessment, Chaplaincy, Records, Admissions, Resources, and Accounting.

## Business and Management

Assessment Plans (see pp. 36-45)

## Associate Program

## Director: Mike Manning

## Changes based on assessment

| Change | Assessment Data Used (rationale) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Developed the ASA Assessment Plan <br> Onsite \& OL |  |
| The following courses were updated in <br> response | End of Course Surveys, Faculty feedback and Focus <br> Groups |
| to collected assessment data: |  |
| UNV111, BUS105, BUS150, BUS220, <br> BUS230, BUS274, CIT120, CIT140, <br> CIT262, ENG140, ENG141 and ENG242 |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## Bachelor Programs

## Directors: George Howell \& Mike Manning

## Changes based on assessment

## Change

The following courses were updated in response to collected assessment data:

## Course

MGT460 International Issues in Business
ACC491 Accounting Seminar
ACC371 Federal Income Tax I
ACC372 Federal Income Tax II
ADM447 Business Law
BUS220P Managerial Accounting Prerequisite ACC423 Auditing

ADM320 Business Statistics

## Assessment Data Used (rationale)

End of Course Surveys, Faculty feedback and Focus Groups

## Change

Additional faith integration \& workshop agendas Laptops for students
Additional faith integration \& workshop agendas Additional faith integration \& workshop agendas Additional faith integration \& workshop agendas Additional faith integration \& workshop agendas, and Personal Trainer Software
Additional faith integration \& workshop agendas Additional faith integration \& workshop agendas, incorporated review of relevant math and statistics

ADM448 Strategic Planning
BIS220 Analytical Thinking and Problem
Solving
BIS344 Visual Basic.net
ACC451 Advanced Accounting

Additional faith integration \& workshop agendas
Additional faith integration \& workshop agendas
Additional faith integration \& workshop agendas
Additional faith integration \& workshop agendas

The following changes were made to the BIS program based on the BIS program assessment conducted in 2004-05:

| Current <br> Course <br> Number | Existing Course Name |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Current <br> Credits | Current <br> Weeks |
|  |  |  |  |
| BIS215 | Intro to Business Info Systems | 3 | 7 |
| MGT205 | Professional Communications | 3 | 5 |
| BIS224 | Business Info Systems Technology | 3 | 5 |
| BIS220 | Analytical Thinking and Prob Solving | 3 | 7 |
| BIS340 | Business Programming I | 3 | 5 |
| BIS341 | Business Programming II | 3 | 5 |
| BIS342 | Business Programming III | 3 | 5 |
| BIS343 | Business Programming IV | 3 | 5 |
| BUS220P | Managerial Accounting Prerequisite | 0 | 3 |
| MGT412 | Financial Planning \& Control Systems | 3 | 6 |
| BIS320 | Managerial Relational DB Systems | 3 | 5 |
| MGT421 | Strategies in Marketing Management | 3 | 5 |
| BIS350 | Web Application Dev in a Bus Environ | 3 | 5 |
| BIS352 | Advanced Web Appl Development | 3 | 5 |
|  |  | $\mathbf{4 3}$ | $\mathbf{8 1}$ |
| BIS460 | Business Systems Analysis and Design | 4 | 8 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |



Reworking BIS215 (BIS2xx) to incorporate Project Mgmt and less cohort start-up concepts
** BIS340 and BIS341 merging to become BIS3xx
*** BIS342 and BIS343 merging to become BIS3xx
Reworking BIS224 (BIS3xx) to include more security and systems
**** administration
***** Combining BIS350/352
\# Indicates courses that could be facilitated with BSM core

## BSM Curriculum Review

| Assessment Tools | Results |
| :--- | :--- |
| Pre/post test | 2001: Post-test scores are 18\% higher than pre-test scores. <br> 2006: Post test scores 30\% higher than pre-test for on site; $11 \%$ <br> higher for online. |
| Review of papers | Critical Thinking, Communication and Problem Solving: <br> 2001: $60 \%$ of students scored "proficient" <br> 2006: $96 \%$ of students scored "proficient" <br> Christian world view |
|  | 2001: 4\% of students scored "proficient" <br> 2006: $68 \%$ scored "proficient". |
| Alumni Study | 1. Very satisfied with convenience and clarity of degree <br> requirements <br> 2. Almost all would do the program again and recommend to <br> friends. <br> 3. A few are unhappy, mainly with study groups. <br> 4. On site graduates more satisfied with faculty than online. |

## BSM Pre/Post Test Scores

|  | BSM Onsite Pre \& Post test |  |  |  | BSM Online Pre \& Post Test |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pre- <br> $(\mathrm{n}=46)$ | Post <br> $(\mathrm{n}=81)$ |  |  | Pre- <br> $(\mathrm{n}=109)$ | Post <br> $(\mathrm{n}=17)$ |  |  |
|  | \% <br> correct | \% <br> correct | Differ- <br> ence | \% <br> increase | \% <br> correct | \% <br> correct | Differ- <br> ence | \% <br> increase |
| Accounting | 33.86 | 45.02 | 11.16 | $33 \%$ | 43.85 | 53.78 | 9.93 | $23 \%$ |
| Comp. \& Info process | 37.88 | 47.08 | 9.20 | $24 \%$ | 50.27 | 57.74 | 7.48 | $15 \%$ |
| Ethics | 30.11 | 47.01 | 16.91 | $56 \%$ | 39.95 | 50.47 | 10.52 | $26 \%$ |
| International Business | 53.31 | 66.52 | 13.21 | $25 \%$ | 64.13 | 71.59 | 7.45 | $12 \%$ |
| Management | 39.21 | 51.94 | 12.73 | $32 \%$ | 51.00 | 57.64 | 6.64 | $13 \%$ |
| Marketing | 33.12 | 45.48 | 12.36 | $37 \%$ | 45.43 | 46.79 | 1.35 | $3 \%$ |
| Organization behavior | 46.47 | 51.96 | 5.49 | $12 \%$ | 53.09 | 48.34 | -4.76 | $-9 \%$ |
|  | Total average | 39.14 | 50.72 | 11.58 | $30 \%$ | 49.68 | 55.19 | 5.52 |
| Mean | 39.14 | 50.72 | 11.58 | 0.31 | 49.68 | 55.19 | 5.52 | 0.12 |
| Median | 37.88 | 47.08 | 12.36 | 0.32 | 50.27 | 53.78 | 7.45 | 0.13 |
| Standard Deviation | 8.18 | 7.52 | 3.56 | 0.14 | 7.85 | 8.40 | 5.42 | 0.12 |
| Minimum | 30.11 | 45.02 | 5.49 | 0.12 | 39.95 | 46.79 | -4.76 | -0.09 |
| Maximum | 53.31 | 66.52 | 16.91 | 0.56 | 64.13 | 71.59 | 10.52 | 0.26 |

## MBA Program

Director: Jim Kraai
Changes based on assessment

| Change | Assessment Data Used (rationale) |
| :--- | :--- |
| ADM511 enhanced to ADM508 | Student feedback from EOCS comments |
| The following threads were started in | Facilitator feedback from CFF <br> ADM508,510,471P,514 and will be <br> continued and refined throughout the <br> curriculum. <br> Business Thread-students focus group |
| explain current and classical leadership and |  |
| management practices, applications, and |  |
| theories and apply them to the functions of |  |
| an organizational leader. |  |
| Ethics/Faith Thread-students should be |  |
| able to describe how a Christian worldview |  |
| affects the world of business. |  |
| Research /Analysis Thread-students |  |
| should be able to use APA writing style, the |  |
| IWU OCLS services and the ability to find |  |
| and evaluate business information on the |  |
| WWW. |  |
| Technology Thread-students should |  |
| become proficient in the use of Microsoft |  |
| Office suite focusing on Word, Excel, and |  |
| PowerPoint in communicating and finding |  |
| solutions to business problems. |  |
| Learning Support Thread-students should |  |
| learn to use PH Train and Assess, PH |  |
| Words, MyMath Lab, MBA Primer/ |  |
| Survival Kit, MyDropBox, and |  |
| SMARTHINKING tutor referral service to |  |
| support skills necessary for academic |  |
| success and business operations. |  |


| ADM519 changed to ADM510 <br> The threads are being added to this course | Student feedback from EOCS <br> Faculty feedback from the CFF |
| :--- | :--- |
| ADM471P made mandatory for all students <br> and Personal Trainer is introduced in this <br> essentials course | Student feedback from EOCS <br> Recommendations from the Accounting focus group |
| ADM514 uses Personal Trainer for all <br> homework assignments | Student feedback from EOCS <br> Recommendations from the Accounting focus group <br> Faculty feedback from the CFF |
| Specializations were identified to provide <br> industry specific coursework to enhance the <br> MBA in accounting and health care | Information from the IPD and AES groups <br> Survey information summarized from other MBA <br> programs |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## Graduate Education

Assessment Plans (see pp. 46-87)

## MED

## Director: Jim Freemyer

## Process of Formulating Changes

The M.Ed. faculty annually analyzes data from the Unit Assessment System and conduct Program Assessment Days (Focus Groups) to recommend changes. Full-time faculty, adjunct faculty, students, and alumni attend Program Assessment Days to provide input and guidance. A curriculum steering committee summarizes results and makes recommendations for future changes. Full-time faculty members are charged with implementing the needed changes.

## Changes based on assessment

| Change | Assessment Data Used (rationale) |
| :--- | :--- |
| The M.Ed. Program changed its focus in <br> spiritual integration by providing faculty with <br> new specially designed devotions to go along <br> with the Maxwell Study Bibles provided <br> students. An emphasis on spiritual integration <br> had a significant impact as reflected <br> statistically in the end-of-course surveys data. <br> Maxwell Study Bible were added to the <br> curriculum, devotions based on the study bible <br> were added, and the faculty were encouraged <br> to integrate spiritual truths. The data reflects a <br> full year's integration with very positive <br> results. The changes were based on lower <br> scores previously as indicated in the column to <br> the right. | The faculty had a desire to improve even more in the student <br> scores on the end-of-course survey data regarding spiritual <br> issues. The lower score on that data prompted the change. <br> Average score was 4.67 on a 5.0 scale in 2004. <br> After making the change the average score on End-of-course <br> surveys data was 4.78 on a 5.0 scale. This is up .08 from last <br> year. This is significantly up from the previous year. |
| The faculty agreed to make major changes in <br> the curriculum including re-writing all the core <br> course modules. | Faculty administrative evaluations in 2004 in curriculum were <br> at 3.67 on a 4.00 scale. The faculty feedback was rated the <br> curriculum at 4.49/5.00 The faculty decided to make changes <br> in curriculum based upon this data. The data for this year <br> indicate a major improvement in both scores with 3.90/4.00 <br> scale in administrative evaluations and end-of-course surveys <br> being 4.66/5.00 scale. |


| In 2004, the M.Ed. Program scored low with administrative faculty evaluations as it relates to assessment. New faculty orientation and faculty development workshops focused on helping faculty with their assessment needs. In addition an online faculty meeting was conducted with assessment as a focus. Administrative evaluations included specific examples of how students rated faculty on assessment. Faculty awareness of this issue increased significantly. Assessment data scores have improved significantly. | In 2004, the administrative evaluation of faculty on assessment was $3.00 / 4.00$ scale. In 2006, the ratings have increased to $3.74 / 4.00$ based on these new initiatives. End-of-course survey data also improved between 2004 and 2006. |
| :---: | :---: |
| In 2004 and in 2005 administrators and students rate the faculty low in modeling instructional strategies. While there has been a slight improvement from the past two years, the administration of M.Ed. decided to focus on this area. Faculty development sessions were held around the state with approximately 97 faculty attending. The actual results will be available one year from now. | In 2004, the administrative evaluation of faculty on instruction was 3.39/4.00 scale. In 2006, the ratings have increased to 3.43/4.00 based on these new initiatives. End-of-course survey data was up this past year from the previous year .15. The scores on how student's rate faculty related to instruction still remain low. More needs to be done. |
| Faculty development sessions the past two years have focused on the sharing of instructional strategies and providing an atmosphere conducive to adult learning styles. Graduation survey data provided the emphasis for this focus. Graduation data is 18 months away from changes so this data is slow assessing. Initial assessments are headed in the positive direction. | In 2005 the graduation survey scored the faculty at 3.22/4.00 scale for providing an atmosphere conducive to learning. This year the graduation survey scored the faculty at 3.36/4.00 scale. |
| Student satisfaction with the diversity course is one of our lowest rated courses. Attempting to meet NCATE requirements and improve student satisfaction with diversity issues appeared to be counter productive. The course was designed to meet diversity standards but then re-designed based on qualitative and quantitative data. | Student dissatisfaction as voiced during the July Program Assessment Day in 2005 was the impetus for making major changes to this course. The graduation survey data from 2005 rated student satisfaction with diversity issues at 3.37/4.00 scale. This year scores indicate some improvement with the scores being 3.48/4.00 scale. |

## Transition To Teaching

## Director: Ted Batson

Changes based on assessment

| Change | Assessment Data Used (rationale) |
| :---: | :---: |
| EDU620 is being adapted to use as a training tool for student teaching supervising teachers | Feedback from student end of course surveys and anecdotal data from supervising teachers and University Representatives indicate that the quality of the student teaching experience could be enhanced by training in mentoring student teachers. |
| A document has been developed that clearly identifies which courses can be taught by each TTT/CBE professor by geographic location in the state of Indiana. This document is being used to insure that the best qualified professors are assigned to teach each course and to aid Faculty Recruitment Services in recruiting efforts. | The audit of faculty credentials conducted by the director of TTT/CBE |
| The TTT/CBE conceptual framework has been revised and is now a guiding document in the revision of the curriculum and the development of the Applied Competency Assessment Portfolio. | A faculty audit of each course in the program which was used by the faculty committee to redefine the conceptual framework to bring it more in line with the National Board and the Division of Professional Standards of the Indiana Department of Education Standards. |
| New student teaching policies were applied to the CBE options to better insure the quality of the student teaching experience and the efficiency of the student teacher placement process. | University Representative and Student Teacher Placement office data indicated that expanded policies were in order. |
| A group approach to training Instructor/Advisors in the development and grading of the ACAP (portfolio) is scheduled for August 2006. | A review of end of course surveys and email communications from students and Instructor/Advisors after individual orientations conducted by the program director indicate that among the I/As there is a broad degree of interpretation of what the portfolio is to be and how it is to be graded. |
| The director of TTT/CBE is working with the administration of ICAN to develop a customized CD that would be used to train student teachers in the use of this standards based electronic tool for teachers. | Student end of course survey data and class representative emails indicate a high level of frustration from students who do not have access to high speed internet service and because of the complexity of options in the ICAN site. |
| A new approach to locating University Representatives from the school corporations in which the student teachers are assigned, is being implemented for the fall of 2006. | A study of the location of the residences of U/Rs and the distance to their observation sites as well as a review of the mileage and overnight cost dictate the development of a more cost effective approach to observing student teachers. |

A gate system has been designed for the SEDO program that will pass through the approval processes in July and August of 2006.

A study of student withdrawals from the field practicum courses revealed a pattern of some student misusing the program to renew emergency permits when they had no intention of completing the program. This practice presented an ethical dilemma for the university in that we had to verify that these students were pursuing a permanent license through our program.

## An Assessment of the Outcomes of Transition to Teaching Programs

Alice Robertson, DOL student, and Cynthia Tweedell conducted a study comparing those teachers who received their licenses through the Transition to Teaching Program with teachers who went through a traditional licensure program. The method of measurement for this study consisted of a twenty-item survey. Items on the survey were adapted only slightly from the Interstate New Teacher Assessment and Support Consortium (INTASC) standards (Indiana, n.d.). The INTASC standards are used in the certification process for new teachers to establish that all teachers receiving certification are highly qualified to continue in the teaching profession.

The sample population for the research study was comprised of graduates from IWU's TTT program and graduates traditional teacher education programs representing a variety of universities. Contacts were made through phone conversations, e-mails, personal contacts, and traditional mail correspondence. These contacts were made in the fall, several weeks after the start of school, to ensure participants had adequate time to readjust to school routines for a new school year.

Those contacts willing to participate in the study were provided a cover letter further explaining the project, a survey for an administrator of the participant to complete, a survey for the mentor teacher of the participant to complete, and two stamped return envelopes for the completed surveys. Participants were instructed to have those completing the surveys return them within two weeks, if possible. Parties completing the surveys were to return the surveys using enclosed stamped envelopes to ensure confidentiality of the reports. The potential bias which accompanies selfreporting was eliminated as others were establishing the degree of effectiveness of the beginning teachers. Follow-up contacts were made to remind participants of the surveys and encourage their completion.

## Results of the Study

The results of the surveys were divided into one of two categories. These categories included surveys pertaining to the TTT graduates surveys and surveys pertaining to the traditional graduates. The mean and standard deviations for TTT graduates versus traditional graduates can be found in Table 1. As the table shows, the mean score of TTT graduates on twelve of the twenty variables was higher than the mean score of the traditional graduates. This seems to indicate a slightly greater degree of effectiveness among the TTT graduates as beginning teachers. To be fair, the variables were comparable between both groups of graduates.

Table 1: A Comparison of TTT and Non-TTT Beginning Teachers

| Variable <br> Scale: 1 = Strongly Agree, 2 = Agree, 3 = Somewhat, 4 = Disagree, 5 = Strongly Disagree | TTT Completers ( $\mathrm{n}=15$ ) |  | Traditional Graduates$(\mathrm{n}=12)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | St. Dev. | Mean | St. Dev. |
| 1. The beginning teacher exemplifies standards-based teaching in the classroom. | 1.93 | 1.16 | 1.75 | 0.75 |
| 2. The beginning teacher creates learning experiences to make subject matter meaningful for students. | 2.00 | 1.35 | 1.83 | 0.72 |
| 3. The beginning teacher understands how students learn and develop and provides opportunities to support student learning, growth, and development. | 1.93 | 1.16 | 2.00 | 0.95 |
| 4. The beginning teacher believes all students can perform at high levels. | 1.87 | 1.30 | 2.08 | 0.67 |
| 5. The beginning teacher values the enrichment of learning from diverse backgrounds. | 2.00 | 1.31 | 1.75 | 0.75 |
| 6. The beginning teacher creates instructional opportunities adapted to students with diverse backgrounds and/or learning styles. | 2.33 | 1.23 | 2.25 | 0.97 |
| 7. The beginning teacher knows major areas of research on teaching and resources for learning. | 2.20 | 0.94 | 2.08 | 0.67 |
| 8. The beginning teacher makes use of a variety of instructional strategies. | 2.07 | 1.03 | 2.00 | 0.74 |
| 9. The beginning teacher creates a learning environment to encourage positive social interaction, active engagement in learning, and self-motivation. | 1.73 | 1.22 | 2.17 | 0.94 |
| 10. The beginning teacher instructs fluently with few surprises, in control of the learning environment. | 1.87 | 1.06 | 2.25 | 0.75 |
| 11. The beginning teacher effectively uses verbal, nonverbal, and media communication techniques to foster active inquiry, collaboration, and supportive interaction in the classroom. | 1.93 | 0.96 | 2.17 | 0.94 |
| 12. The beginning teacher makes use of a variety of tools to foster timely communication with parents or guardians, colleagues, and administrators. | 2.13 | 1.06 | 2.08 | 0.90 |
| 13. The beginning teacher is able to plan and manage instruction based upon knowledge of subject matter, students, the community, and curriculum goals. | 1.93 | 1.16 | 2.25 | 1.06 |
| 14. The beginning teacher knows his/her own learning and teaching style and is able to plan instruction accordingly. | 2.00 | 1.13 | 2.17 | 0.94 |
| 15. The beginning teacher understands and is able to use formal and informal assessment strategies to evaluate and ensure the continuous intellectual, social, and physical development of the student. | 2.07 | 0.88 | 2.17 | 0.58 |
| 16. The beginning teacher uses assessment to direct the course of future instruction to meet student learning needs. | 2.20 | 0.94 | 2.00 | 0.43 |
| 17. The beginning teacher is committed to personal and collegial reflection on instructional practices. | 1.93 | 1.22 | 1.83 | 0.72 |
| 18. The beginning teacher exemplifies being a lifelong learner who pursues opportunities for professional development. | 1.60 | 1.24 | 0.91 | 0.87 |
| 19. The beginning teacher is able to communicate and interact with parents or guardians, colleagues, and the community to support student learning and wellbeing. | 1.87 | 0.99 | 1.58 | 0.67 |
| 20. The beginning teacher knows and applies collegial behavior and is a positive influence on school morale. | 1.80 | 1.08 | 1.75 | 0.75 |

At the conclusion of the study, the results of the surveys were analyzed using a two-tailed t-test for each of the variables in the study. The test was set up with a $95 \%$ confidence level. T-tests for each variable were significantly above the .05 critical scores which might have indicated significant differences between the TTT and non-TTT graduates. (Table 2 illustrates the findings of the t -tests.) Thus, the t-tests found no statistically significant difference between the ratings on the surveys of TTT graduates when compared with the survey ratings of traditional graduates for any of the variables. This may be due to the small size of the sample.
(reported by Alice Robertson)

## Principal Licensure Program

## Director: William Roberson

Changes based on assessment
As data collection enters the second year the portfolio system requires some modifications. Cohorts begin in January and June, however, both groups finish EDL 625 and defend portfolio in June. Approximately 8\% do not finish and defend the portfolio at conclusion of EDL 625. The following changes have been implemented to increase the completion rate, and to provide the director receives the data for June reporting.

Assessment Data Used (rationale)

1. EDL 613, Portfolio Continuation Course, was added to the program in January 2006. If candidate does not complete and defend portfolio by the conclusion of EDL 625, candidate must enroll in EDL 613 and pay for 1 hour credit for 10 weeks. Director will audit completion rate to determine effectiveness when data is available in 2007.
2. The 2007 schedule has been changed to accommodate EDL 625 finishing in mid-May and data received by director before June 1.
3. Training of faculty will occur in August 2006. The training will focus on the portfolio to improve the scoring and reporting process.
4. A comprehensive orientation program has been finalized for mentor training. The program includes a Power Point on CD. The revised orientation will be instituted in August 2006.
5. Portfolio exhibits have been scanned and distributed to faculty for Inter-rater Reliability.
6. PLP faculty and alumni will participate in Assessment Day on July 26, 2006.

| Change | Assessment Data Used (rationale) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Spiritual assessment was included in 2006. | The following assessment instruments were applied to collect data: <br> 1. Student End of course Survey-Students assess faculty members on their effectiveness of demonstrating their Christian faith. This is consistent with M.Ed. assessment and speaks to the university's Mission Statement. <br> 2. Faculty Professional Growth Plan-annually faculty members assess areas for personal and professional growth initiatives. <br> 3. Faculty Administrative Evaluation-Faculty members are observed by director. Data from the observations are recorded in the Unit Assessment System and summary reports analyzed. <br> Spiritual commitment, modeling and growth are consistent with the university's Mission Statement. |
| Technology assessment was included in 2006. | Candidate End of Course Surveys was analyzed to assess faculty members' use of multiple resources in their instruction. <br> Adult learners require multiple methods of delivering instruction. PLP is writing the program's first online course. Data is required to assess where the faculty stands with the application of technology. |
| Curriculum, Instruction and Assessment were included in 2006. | The following assessment instruments were applied to collect data: <br> Candidate End of Course Surveys-Students assess faculty members on their effectiveness of instruction, teaching the curriculum and assessment. <br> Data will be discussed with faculty to improve the delivery and assessment of the program. Course revisions and professional development for faculty will be a focus. <br> Faculty Administrative Evaluation-Faculty is observed and evaluated by director. Data from evaluations are used to discuss strengths and weaknesses and to define faculty development. <br> Faculty Professional Growth Plan-Faculty self-assess professional growth opportunities and set growth goals. |


|  | Data will be used to identify areas of need and faculty <br> development. <br> Faculty End of Course Feedback Form-Faculty members <br> provide feedback about the recommended curriculum, <br> instruction and assessment built into faculty course <br> guides. <br> Date will be used to write revisions to course guides and <br> faculty development. |
| :--- | :--- |

## RN-BS Completion Program

Assessment Plan (see pp. 88-91)

## Director: Carol Bence

## Portfolio Review

Spring, 2006
(Core Groups \#132-150)
NUR 470 76.92\% of portfolio inclusions demonstrated proficiency on Vulnerability Paper.

NUR 332 50\% of portfolio inclusions demonstrated proficiency on Spirituality Paper.
NUR 365 80\% of portfolio inclusions demonstrated proficiency on Values Clarification Paper.

## Changes based on assessment

| Change | Assessment Data Used (rationale) |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1. Revise NUR 332 module to address the | NUR 332 Theory - assessment data spring 2006 |
| spiritual aspect in WS 2 of NUR 332 before |  |
| paper due in WS 3 so students will have |  |
| more direction before assignment due. | on Spirituality Paper 3s scored by the Faculty on score <br> Assessment day. Assessment Plan states 85\% of <br> portfolio inclusions for NUR 332 Spirituality Paper will <br> display value-based behaviors as scored by an <br> assessment committee. |
| 2. Students need increased direction in <br> discussion of difference between religion <br> and spiritual care. Revise NUR 332 to <br> reflect this addition as well as the following <br> points: |  |
| See assessment data in \#1 above <br> a. Curriculum set up so student completes <br> assignment then discusses in class. This <br> done in adult model to increase critical <br> thinking by students. Perhaps better to <br> present content in mini lecture then student <br> writes paper for next workshop <br> b. Faculty could review Grading Grid <br> before assignment due to respond to <br> personal aspect of providing spiritual care <br> c. Provide direction for students to see <br> spiritual care as an integrated component of <br> nursing care not something that is |  |


| compartmentalized as an extra task but that <br> spiritual care is a caring presence offered to <br> clients by the nurse. |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 3. Need to give older nurses more guidance <br> on incorporating spiritual care into nursing <br> care as they may not have had that focus in <br> their nursing education. Holistic nursing <br> care has only recently included spiritual <br> aspect of care. Revise NUR 332 to reflect <br> this change. | See assessment data in \#1 above |
| 4. Christian perspective lacking in students’ <br> written assignment in Values Clarification <br> Paper in NUR 365. Include \#4 and \#5 in <br> revision of NUR 365. | NUR 365 Ethics - assessment data spring 2006 <br> indicates 80\% scored 3 or 4 indicating proficient score <br> on Values Clarification Paper as scored by the Faculty <br> on assessment day. Assessment Plan states 85\% of <br> portfolio inclusions for NUR 365 Clarification Values <br> assignment will display value-based behaviors as scored <br> by an assessment committee |
| Faculty should email students the week <br> before Ethics begins and delineate <br> assignment since assignment due before <br> first class meets or revise module so <br> assignment due WS 2. Revise to include <br> this in the Faculty Guide for NUR 365. |  |
| 5. World Changer focus was <br> underemphasized in the paper. It appeared <br> students added this component in <br> conclusion at the end of the paper. Faculty <br> need to review Grading Grid giving <br> emphasis to the World Changer focus to the <br> paper. Revise NUR 365 to reflect this <br> change. | See assessment data in \#4 above |
| 6. Students discussed the values of the <br> different client populations but the <br> references were sometimes subtle and <br> superficial. <br> Faculty need to discuss Grading Grid <br> before assignment due to clearly outline <br> expectation. <br> Revise NUR 470 to reflect this change. | NUR 470 Community Health Nursing - 76.92\% of <br> students scored 3 or 4 indicating proficient score on <br> Vulnerability Paper as scored by the Faculty on <br> assessment day. Assessment Plan states 85\% of <br> portfolio inclusions for NUR 470 Vulnerability Paper <br> will display value-based behaviors as scored by an <br> assessment committee. |


| General Implications |
| :--- |
| 1. Student Handbook and courses need to |
| be more intentional about explaining |
| Baccalaureate Program Objectives earlier in |
| the RNBS Completion Program. Encourage |
| students to be more outcome-focused all |
| during the program. |
| 2. Strengthen Academic Advising so |
| students know when to take electives and |
| not to take electives with practicum courses |
| or Theory or Research due to the content of |
| these courses. |
| Invite Advisors to come to NUR 205 to |
| discuss electives early in the program or |
| create a power point for offsite locations. |
| 3. Suggestion made by Faculty that in the |
| future clearer instructions need to be given |
| to those doing the assessment on evaluation |
| criteria. Also suggest that faculty discuss in |
| their groups the findings and implications. |

## Liberal Arts and Electives

Assessment Plan (see pp. 92-95)

## Director: Bradford Sample

## Review of student papers

In 2005-06 the Liberal Arts and Electives Department focused assessment activities on two World Changer Objectives:

| Objective: | "Demonstrate effective written communication skills." <br> Assessment Criteria: <br> "When a sample of 50 papers are reviewed by faculty, $90 \%$ will <br> have a score of 3 or more on each of 6 traits on a standardized |
| :--- | :--- |
| Findings 2006: | writing rubric." <br> $76 \%$ of ENG 141 papers reviewed scored a 3 or more on each of <br> 6 traits. |
| $72 \%$ of ENG 242 papers reviewed scored a 3 or more on each of |  |

## Changes based on assessment

As a result of data collected to 2004, the Liberal Arts \& Electives office undertook sweeping changes to the English 140, 141, and 242 curricula, implemented in October 2005.

The May 2006 review included data collected between December 2005 and May 2006, after the change in curriculum took place.

The change in English curricula appears to have been justified and has improved the scores of those reviewed.

# College of Graduate Studies 

Graduate Studies in Ministry

Assessment Plan (see p.103)

## Chair: Bob Whitesel

Graduate Studies in Ministry has added two intensive elective courses during the summer. The first is a new course on prayer and its effects upon strategies that foster the creation of World-Changers. It was taught by Dr. Elmer Towns, co-founder and Dean of the School of Theology at Liberty University. The second course is an off-campus case-study of a growing church that is co-taught by Associate Professor Bob Whitesel and award-winning author Dan Kimball at Vintage Faith Church in Santa Cruz. Both of these courses were attended by over twice the minimum number of students that were required.

There have been considerable changes in structure and delivery due to input received from cohort groups onsite and online cohort groups. Holidays and breaks for cohorts are now standardized, elective schedules are published each August for the following academic year, and the online residentials have been adjusted to allow greater student interaction as well as heightened student familiarization with the online interface.

A graduation (exit) assessment is given to all matriculating seniors and has added to a heightened emphasis on faculty suitability, course expectations, as well as team-building processes for cohorts. This year, an evaluation of students’ spiritual disciplines has been added to the Entrance Survey and the End of Program Survey to enable us to measure our effectiveness at encouraging spiritual growth. Research has shown that without a focus upon spiritual disciples in graduate education, pastors can graduate with less spiritual fervor. Our increased emphasis upon spiritual disciples such as prayer, fasting, Scriptural meditation, etc. is designed to offset this trend in higher education.

The End of Course Survey for Graduate Ministries continues to be administered by Chau Jackson, Assessment Specialist, in the same manner as other AGS courses are assessed.

Thought the department is without a director, the interim director, Dr. Bob Whitesel, has implemented an assessment plan for adjunct faculty that will assess content suitability along with teaching skills. Based upon an Internal Factors Analysis (IFA) matrix, the following table is being applied to all adjunct faculty to assess suitability to teach a particular subject, as well as student satisfaction levels with his or her facilitation:

Table 1.0
Adjunct Faculty Internal Factors Analysis (IFA)
Assessing Skill and Suitability:

| Course Title \& Number |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Adjunct <br> Name: | Weighted <br> teaching / <br> classroom <br> skills based <br> upon student <br> assessments: | Rating of <br> suitability <br> for course <br> content, <br> based upon <br> assessment <br> of transcript: | Individual <br> adjunct <br> faculty score <br> for this <br> course: |
| Adjunct \#1 | .10 | 3 | .30 |
| Adjunct \#2 | .30 | 2 | .60 |
| Adjunct \#3 | .20 | 2 | .40 |
| Adjunct \#4 | .05 | 4 | .20 |
| Adjunct \#5 | .15 | 2 | .30 |
| Adjunct \#6 | .20 | 3 | .60 |
|  | Total $=1.00$ |  |  |

Legend:
Teaching Skills Weight:
$0.0=$ unskilled
$1.0=$ highly skilled
Total of Column 2 must equal 1.00
Rating of Suitability:
1 = not suitable
2 = low suitability
3 = moderate suitability
4 = high suitability
Score of Skills and Suitability:
Highest-rated adjunct facilitators are offered courses first.

Changes based on assessment strategies and processes include:

- Mandatory training for adjunct faculty has been implemented based upon the above Internal Factors Analysis.
- Process for assigning courses to adjunct faculty has been restructured based upon the above Internal Factors Analysis.
- Recruitment of adjunct faculty has also been restructured based upon the above Internal Factors Analysis.
- Curricular revision based on student feedback.
- Calendar revision based upon student feedback.
- Online residential content and structure revised based upon student feedback.
- Elective schedules codified and publicized in August each year for the following year based upon student feedback.
- Off-campus electives utilizing a case-study format allow students to visit a church that is producing World-Changers first-hand and personally interface with their leaders and staff.
- On-campus summer intensives utilize well-known authors and leaders to expose students personally to these World-Changers, as well as to increase the Graduate Studies in Ministry recognition and distinction.


## Graduate Studies in Nursing

Assessment Plan (see p. 105)
Chair: Pam Giles

## Changes based on assessment:

| Change | Assessment Data Used (rationale) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Progression policy changes: <br> - GNUR 528 prerequisite to GNUR 559 <br> - All core courses prerequisite to entering the major <br> - Students must obtain a "B" in each course in the major <br> - All courses are prerequisite to GNUR 590 | Students were being allowed to progress into their major courses without having successfully passed all prior, core courses. Students displaying difficulty completing their GNUR 590 (Investigation) course typically had not successfully passed Biostatistics and/or Research. After discussion it was decided that all core courses lay a knowledge foundation for success in the courses in the major - and particularly GNUR 590. Therefore, students should be required to demonstrate the ability to successfully complete core courses before being allowed to proceed to the major. |
| Changes to "Incomplete" policy for GNUR 590 <br> - If GNUR 590 is not completed by the last night of class, a grade of "I" will be issued; <br> - The student will automatically be enrolled in a 10 -week, 1 credit GNUR 595 course, and will pay tuition. <br> - GNUR 595 is a CR/NC course. <br> - Students are only allowed to enroll in two (2) sections of GNUR 595 <br> - If the research project is not completed by the end of the second section, an " $F$ " will be awarded for GNUR 590, and the student will repeat the course. | Approximately 20 students carried grades of "Incomplete" for the GNUR 590 course for two (2) years or more. In addition, many students were not finishing the GNUR 590 course requirements within the allotted time, and were automatically enrolled in the GNUR 595 extension course. Although faculty were hired and utilized to work with students in these extension courses no tuition was charged to cover these expenses since the GNUR 595 was a noncredit course, which resulted in a departmental budget drain. <br> The policy changes are designed to motivate and encourage students to finish their research projects, and provide immediate consequences if they do not. In addition, it allows the department to hire quality faculty as needed to mentor and work with students toward this goal. |
| PYC re-enrollment policy changes: <br> - If the student has withdrawn or stopped out, and it has been six (6) months since they took PYC 512, PYC 514 and/or PYC 552 - <br> - Student must take the appropriate competency exam(s) <br> - Each competence exam must be passed at $87 \%$ or higher | Approximately a dozen students had withdrawn from the PYC program for either academic or personal reasons. After an extended withdrawal period (>6 months) they requested to re-enroll and continue their PYC education. <br> Maintaining current knowledge in the PYC field is vital to the success of any NP student. Faculty expressed great concern at allowing a student to re-enroll and take clinical courses after such a lengthy absence from the classroom. It was decided that the students' knowledge in three vital areas needed to be validated before they would be allowed |


| $\begin{array}{l}\text { If the score is <87\%, the } \\ \text { student must repeat the } \\ \text { associated course and } \\ \text { satisfactorily repeat the exam }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { to enter the clinical experience. The competency exams } \\ \text { are taken directly from the NONPF materials. The 87\% } \\ \text { score was selected because it is the equivalent of a "B" in } \\ \text { the graduate nursing programs, which complies with } \\ \text { earlier policies related to progression in the major. }\end{array}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Addition of practicum component } \\ \text { (70 hours) to PYC 552 }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Feedback from NP’s in the field and from our current } \\ \text { students indicated there was a need for students to have } \\ \text { experience in the advanced assessment of ill adults prior } \\ \text { to entering the first major practicum experience. }\end{array}$ |
|  | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Up to this point students had only been assessing each } \\ \text { other in the PYC 552 course. When they began their first } \\ \text { practicum experience, several weeks were needed to bring } \\ \text { their assessment skills up to speed, which did not leave } \\ \text { them the full amount of time for the actual practicum } \\ \text { course. }\end{array}$ |
| Addition of Prescriber's Newsletter | $\begin{array}{l}\text { This change was implemented in March, 2006, and } \\ \text { student feedback has been overwhelmingly positive. They } \\ \text { are particularly pleased with the additional assessment } \\ \text { practice prior to beginning the major practicum } \\ \text { experiences. }\end{array}$ |
| One area of weakness identified in the PYC program was |  |
| a lack of emphasis or focus on evidence-based nursing |  |
| apart from the official Research and Investigation courses. |  |
| Students would finish their Research course and dive into |  |
| the major courses and practicum courses, without giving |  |
| much thought to integrating current research and new |  |
| knowledge into their classes. |  |\(\left.\} \begin{array}{l}Students receive a two-year subscription to the <br>

Prescriber’s Newsletter, beginning with their Research <br>
course. This newsletter is produced monthly, and includes <br>
information and exercises on incorporating evidence based <br>
nursing within NP practice. Students are given <br>
assignments in each course from the Newsletter with the <br>
intent of keeping research in the forefront so that the <br>
GNUR 590 course is more relevant.\end{array}\right\}\)

## Graduate Counseling

Assessment Plan (see p. 107)

## Chair: Jerry Davis

Graduate Counseling Department had their CACREP Visit in June 2006. In preparation for that visit, an assessment plan was implemented, including an electronic portfolio system. Graduate Counseling had their first annual Assessment Day in August where they scored student papers and discussed the curricular changes needed based on the data.

| Objective | Assessment Method | Results | Changes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Students will demonstrate competence in reading, interpreting, evaluating and applying scholarly research | a. $90 \%$ of students will produce a scholarly research proposal which is scored 2 out of 3 points on a faculty-written rubric. <br> b. $90 \%$ of research papers in portfolio will reflect mastery of reading, interpreting, evaluating and applying scholarly research | a. $10 \%$ scored proficient | APA <br> Workshop |
| 2. Students will demonstrate clinical proficiency. | a. $90 \%$ of students will have $75 \%$ of clients report positive change on client survey. <br> b. $100 \%$ of students will score "proficient" on clinical skills as measured by a faculty designed rubric of clinical experience. |  |  |
| 3. Students will demonstrate multicultural awareness in clinical practice. | Students' post tests on Multicultural Competency Scale show 50\% improvement (Multicultural Counseling Course) | 42\% Improvement in scores | Focus group with minority students |
| 4. Students will demonstrate professional integrity | $90 \%$ of sampled graduates will be scored superior by supervisors and employers on professional integrity. | Employer Survey: 4.85 (of 5) on professional integrity. |  |
| 5. Students will demonstrate an ability to integrate faith with the counseling profession. | Portfolio submission: 90\% of students will score "proficient" on a faculty-designed rubric for a faith-integration paper. | 44\% proficient |  |


| 6.Students will <br> demonstrate <br> proficiency in <br> communication <br> skills. | Papers, presentations, clinical <br> portfolio: 90\% of student will <br> score "superior" on faculty- <br> designed rubrics. | $40 \%$ scored <br> proficient <br> $0 \%$ scored superior |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Doctorate in Organizational Leadership

Assessment Plan (see p. 108)
Chair: Vern Ludden
Changes based on assessment
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{|l|l|}\hline \text { Change } & \text { Assessment Data Used (rationale) } \\
\hline \begin{array}{l}\text { The course sequence for the program was } \\
\text { changed so that DOL 820 - Advanced } \\
\text { Research and Design is offered prior to the } \\
\text { DOL 810 Statistical Research Design } \\
\text { course. }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { There were two problems that we discovered in talking } \\
\text { with students in both the DOL 810 \& DOL 820 courses } \\
\text { and by reviewing portfolio assignments that there were } \\
\text { two problems with the course sequence. First, the } \\
\text { Summer Institute class meetings were too frequent in } \\
\text { nature to allow students to work through statistical } \\
\text { problems and assimilate the information. Second, } \\
\text { students lacked some of the research skills that the DOL } \\
820 \text { course can provide them. }\end{array} \\
\hline \begin{array}{l}\text { A formal 4-year plan for graduation was } \\
\text { created. }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Several students found that the intensity of taking two } \\
\text { courses every term is more than they are able to } \\
\text { accommodate as working adults. This information began } \\
\text { to form in the Blackboard conversations for the Seminar } \\
\text { and Internship courses. It was decided that a standard }\end{array}
$$ <br>

program format and sequence should be developed\end{array}\right]\)| where students can take just one course each term- |
| :--- |
| other than the Summer Institute term. |


|  | were taking the same courses in the same term. The <br> change is intended to student leadership exposure. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Pre-Dissertation courses DOL 900a, 900b, <br> \& 910 will be scheduled in the fall term of <br> students third year. The course will be <br> offered in conjunction with a dissertation <br> seminar in the fall term. However, each <br> student will proceed through their <br> dissertation work in an individualized <br> manner. They will not get credit for the <br> course until they have fully completed all <br> requirements. | The department chair coordinated the dissertation <br> courses and was able to monitor the student outcomes <br> from those courses. It was evident that the majority of <br> students were not able to produce the quality of brief, <br> prospectus, and proposal that was anticipated when the <br> curriculum was originally developed. Repeated <br> discussions in faculty meetings resulted in the decision <br> to place the courses in the fall term of the third year. |
| The workload for courses in the first-year <br> of the Summer Institute was reduced and <br> consideration is being given to moving <br> DOL 730 to the summer and DOL 710 to <br> the fall. | There were two students who dropped from the program <br> during the summer program last year. An additional <br> three students dropped from the program a few weeks <br> into the fall term. Conversations with these students <br> revealed that the amount of work required during the <br> first three courses of the program was simply <br> overwhelming. Faculty discussed whether the work was <br> necessary or was simply a method for guaranteeing that <br> the program would be viewed as rigorous. It was decided <br> that the amount of work could be reduced without <br> impacting the academic integrity of the course or <br> program. |

## Student Services

Assessment Plans (see pp. 96-99)

|  | UNDER GRADUATE |  |  | GRADUATE |  |  | CUMULATIVE |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Other Aspects of IWU [Scale 1-5: 1=Strongly disagree, 2=Disagree, 3=Neutral, 4=Agree, 5=Strongly agree] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | N | Mea n | Std. <br> Dev. | N | Mea n | Std. <br> Dev. | N | Mean | Std. <br> Dev. |
| 18. I was satisfied with the timeliness of textbook delivery | 22,524 | 4.53 | 0.664 | 9,213 | 4.46 | 0.663 | 31,737 | 4.51 | 0.665 |
| 19. I was satisfied with the helpfulness of university staff | 17,201 | 4.23 | 0.825 | 7,433 | 4.12 | 0.841 | 24,634 | 4.20 | 0.831 |
| 20. I was satisfied with the accessibility of the chaplaincy staff | 12,385 | 4.02 | 0.984 | 5,291 | 3.91 | 0.872 | 17,676 | 3.98 | 0.953 |
| 21. I was satisfied with the helpfulness of the chaplaincy staff | 11,824 | 3.97 | 0.963 | 5,066 | 3.86 | 0.878 | 16,890 | 3.94 | 0.939 |
| 22. I was satisfied with the accessibility of the academic advising | 14,457 | 4.02 | 0.914 | 5,458 | 3.85 | 0.896 | 19,915 | 3.97 | 0.912 |
| 23. I was satisfied with the helpfulness of the academic advising | 14,341 | 4.02 | 0.920 | 5,388 | 3.84 | 0.904 | 19,729 | 3.97 | 0.919 |
| 24. I was satisfied with the Accounting Department | 15,219 | 3.99 | 0.956 | 6,445 | 3.93 | 0.921 | 21,664 | 3.97 | 0.946 |
| 25. I was satisfied with the Financial Aid Department | 14,034 | 3.94 | 0.974 | 5,857 | 3.87 | 0.953 | 19,891 | 3.92 | 0.968 |
| 26. I was satisfied with the Library Services | 14,201 | 4.06 | 0.874 | 6,213 | 4.02 | 0.833 | 20,414 | 4.04 | 0.862 |
| 27. I was satisfied with the Enrollment Services | 13,741 | 4.07 | 0.867 | 5,660 | 3.97 | 0.856 | 19,401 | 4.04 | 0.865 |
| 28. I was satisfied with the Records Department | 12,779 | 4.00 | 0.876 | 5,370 | 3.89 | 0.861 | 18,149 | 3.96 | 0.873 |
| Valid N (listwise) | 10,059 |  |  | 4,169 |  |  | 14,228 |  |  |

Dr. Cynthia Tweedell, Associate Dean for Institutional Effectiveness, with the help of Chau Jackson, Assessment Specialist for APS, will bring assessment to a higher level as student enrollments climb. Specifically these are the goals for the coming year:

1. Program Reviews for
a. MBA- Core (not specializations)
b. BSMK
c. RNBS
2. Completion of Unit Assessment System for TTT. Make substantial progress toward UAS for SEDO, PLP, and Rank 1.
3. Development of benchmarks with other adult programs for student learning outcomes.
4. Work toward integration of Institutional Research and Assessment functions throughout the university.

Five Year Program Review \& Assessment Schedules
College of Adult and Professional Studies

| FY 2006-2007 | FY 2007-2008 | FY 2008-2009 | FY 2009-2010 | FY2010-2011 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MBA: Core | ASCIT | PLP | MSM | BSM |
| MED | ASA | BSBA | BSBIS | ASB |
| RNBS | BSA | ASCJ |  |  |
| BS-Marketing | TTT | BSCJ |  |  |
|  | SEDO | MBA: |  |  |
|  | Specializations |  |  |  |

## Yearly

Annual Program Report
Department discussion about assessment data collected and how it should be used for program improvement.

## Every 5 Years

Complete program review (self-study) including:
Alumni Survey
Employer Survey (or focus group luncheon)
Review of a representative sampling of student work

## College of Graduate Studies

| FY 2006-07 | FY 2007-08 | FY 2008-09 | FY 2009-10 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Nursing | Christian Ministries <br> Ed.D. | Nursing (CCNE) <br> Counseling | Addictions Counseling |

Program Review will include:

1. Alumni surveys
2. Employer Surveys
3. Review of student work/portfolios

Ongoing Assessment Measures include

1. End of course surveys
2. Spiritual development surveys

## PROGRAM ASSESSMENT

ASB

| Objective | World Changing Outcome | Assessment Criteria \& Procedures | Assessment Results | Use of the Results |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. Demonstrate an <br> understanding of the <br> decision making from a <br> Christian worldview. | 1a- Basics of Christian Faith <br> 1d- Integration of knowledge <br> 2b- Critical thinking <br> 3a- Commitment to truth <br> 3c- Human worth <br> 3d- Stewardship <br> 3- Service <br> 3g- Agent of change <br> 3h- Selflessness | When a sample of 50 Personal Learning <br> Anthologies are reviewed by the Coordinator <br> of Assessment, 90\% will meet or exceed the <br> criteria of a 10 \% improvement in Christian <br> world view as indicated by a scoring rubric <br> of 2 writing samples. |  |  |
| 2. Develop an academic <br> foundation for the <br> completion of a business- <br> related baccalaureate <br> degree. | 1c- Competency in a discipline <br> 2c- Communication <br> 2d- Self-discipline <br> 2e- Lifelong learning | Alumni Survey: 80\% of ASB graduates who <br> completed at least three years ago will have <br> completed a bachelor degree. | 96\% of alumni working <br> on or have completed <br> bachelor degree |  |
| 3. Develop a knowledge <br> base that demonstrates <br> exposure to liberal arts <br> instruction. | 1b- Liberal arts foundation <br> 3b- Inclusion | When a sample of 50 Personal Learning <br> Anthologies are reviewed by the Coordinator <br> of Assessment, 90\% will demonstrate <br> exposure to liberal arts instruction. |  |  |
| 4. Integrate basic business <br> principles, concepts, and <br> skills. | 1c- Competency in a discipline <br> 1d- Integration of knowledge <br> 2a- Creativity <br> 2b- Critical thinking <br> 2f- Leadership <br> 2g- Servanthood | When a sample of 50 BUS274 papers (30 <br> onsite from IEC, CLEC and LEC; 20 online) <br> are reviewed by 3 business faculty, 90\% will <br> demonstrate an ability to integrate basic <br> business principles, concepts, and skills the <br> criteria as indicated by faculty generated <br> scoring rubric. |  |  |

PROGRAM ASSESSMENT

## ASA

| Objective | World Changing Outcome | Assessment Criteria \& Procedures | Assessment Results | Use of the Results |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Demonstrate an understanding of the decision making from a Christian worldview. | 1a- Basics of Christian Faith <br> 1d- Integration of knowledge <br> 2b- Critical thinking <br> 3a- Commitment to truth <br> 3c- Human worth <br> 3d- Stewardship <br> 3f- Service <br> 3g- Agent of change <br> 3h- Selflessness | When a sample of 50 Personal Learning Anthologies are reviewed by the Coordinator of Assessment, $90 \%$ will meet or exceed the criteria of a $10 \%$ improvement in Christian world view as indicated by a scoring rubric of 2 writing samples. | Due March, 2010 | Assessment FY 2010 |
| 2. Develop an academic foundation for the completion of a businessrelated baccalaureate degree. | 1c- Competency in a discipline <br> 2c- Communication <br> 2d- Self-discipline <br> 2e- Lifelong learning | Alumni Survey: 80\% of ASA graduates who completed at least three years ago will have completed a bachelor degree. | Due 2010 | Assessment FY 2010 |
| 3. Develop a knowledge base that demonstrates exposure to liberal arts instruction. | 1b- Liberal arts foundation 3b- Inclusion | When a sample of 50 Personal Learning Anthologies (20 online and 10 each from IEC, CLEC and LEC) are reviewed by the faculty, $90 \%$ will demonstrate exposure to liberal arts instruction. | Due March, 2010 | Assessment FY 2010 |
| 4. Demonstrate a competency in fundamental accounting and business principles. | 1c- Competency in a discipline <br> 1d- Integration of knowledge <br> 2a- Creativity <br> 2b- Critical thinking <br> 2f- Leadership <br> 2g- Servanthood | ASA pre/post test: Scores from a representative sampling of both online and on site students will show a $10 \%$ difference between pre and post tests. |  |  |

## PROGRAM ASSESSMENT

 AS Information Technology| Objective |
| :--- |
| Objective <br> Assessment Criteria \& Procedures <br> Assessment Results 1. To develop an <br> appreciation and understanding of a <br> Christian world view. BIL 102 Papers: 90\% of a representative sampling of BIL102 papers will <br> show evidence of understanding of Christian world view as indicated by a <br> faculty-written scoring rubric. Program Review: 2009  <br> 2. To inspire students to see the value <br> and necessity of practicing good <br> customer service. CIT280 Project Information \& Integration <br> Final Project: When a sample of 50 are reviewed by faculty, 90\% will be <br> proficient on Customer Service Component Program Review: 2009  <br> 3. To instruct students in the basics of <br> quantitative skills and logic, preparing <br> graduates to recognize and define <br> problems and execute solutions. CIT120 Introduction to Programming Concepts: <br> Group Project: When a sample of 50 are reviewed by faculty, 90\% will <br> demonstrate proficiency in logic. <br> Business Math Pre/Post Test: <br> Mean post-test score will be 30\% higher than mean pre-test score. Program Review: 2009  <br> 4. To inculcate excellent <br> communications skills, enabling <br> graduates to synthesize data and <br> adequately inform non-technical persons <br> of technological problems and solutions. CIT280 Project Information \& Integration <br> Final Project When a sample of 50 are reviewed by faculty, 90\% will <br> demonstrate proficiency in Communication Skills. Program Review: 2009  <br> 5. To help students become familiar <br> with hardware and the most popular <br> software programs and to develop a <br> working knowledge of how to provide <br> customers with excellent service through <br> efficient problem solving CIT272 Hardware \& Software Troubleshooting <br> Project: When a sample of 50 are reviewed by faculty, 90\% will <br> demonstrate proficiency in hardware and software troubleshooting. Program Review: 2009  <br> 6. To make students aware of the <br> connections between current technology <br> and business application, granting <br> graduates a glimpse of the potential for <br> future possibilities CIT112 Intro. To Computer Information Systems <br> Paper on impact of technology on culture. When a sample of 50 are <br> reviewed by faculty, 90\% will demonstrate an awareness of connection <br> between current technology and business application. Program Review: 2009  <br> 7. To create a capstone opportunity for <br> students to apply what they have learned <br> in an integrated format CIT280 Project Information \& Integration <br> Final Project: When a sample of 50 are reviewed by faculty, 90\% will <br> apply concepts in an integrated format. Program Review: 2009  |

## PROGRAM ASSESSMENT

| 1.Demonstrate an understanding of decision making from a Christian world view. | 1a- Basics of Christian Faith <br> 1d- Integration of knowledge <br> 2b- Critical thinking <br> 3a- Commitment to truth <br> 3c- Human worth <br> 3d- Stewardship <br> 3f- Service <br> 3g- Agent of change <br> 3h- Selflessness | When a sample of MGT 425 papers are reviewed by three business faculty, $90 \%$ will demonstrate an understanding of decision making from a Christian world view.** | 62.4\% of students scored "proficient" on Christian world view. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. Demonstrate knowledge of current accounting principles, tax law, current auditing standards, the use of accounting information by management. | 1c Competency in a discipline 2f Leadership 2g Servanthood 2d Stewardship | $90 \%$ of students who take a facultygenerated test at the end of the program will show $10 \%$ higher scores than those taking the test at the beginning of the program. | Graduating students had scores that were $16 \%$ higher than beginning students. |  |
| 3. Develop critical thinking, problem solving, and communication skills. | 1d Integration of knowledge <br> 2a Creativity <br> 2b Critical thinking <br> 2c Communication <br> 2e Lifelong learning <br> 3b Inclusion | When a sample of MGT425 papers are reviewed by three business faculty, $90 \%$ will demonstrate critical thinking, problem solving and communication skills. ** | 82.6\% scored "proficient" on critical thinking |  |
| 4. Apply accounting theory in a practical manner. | 3f Service <br> 3g Agent of change <br> 3h Selflessness | When a sample of 25 ACC 491 projects are reviewed by three business faculty, $90 \%$ will demonstrate application of accounting theory in a practical manner. ${ }^{* *}$ | 95.8\% of ACC491 projects are proficient on application of accounting theory | ACC 491 has been revised to integrate Peachtree software and Chart of Accounts Project. |
| 5. Demonstrate technology skills necessary to solve accounting problems | 1c Competency in a discipline <br> 1d Integration of knowledge <br> 3f Service <br> 3g Agent of change | When a sample of 25 ACC 491 accounting projects are reviewed by three business faculty, $90 \%$ will demonstrate technology skills needed to solve accounting problems. | $100 \%$ of ACC491 projects demonstrate technology skills. | ACC 491 has been revised to integrate Peachtree software and Chart of Accounts Project. |

**as indicated by a faculty-generated scoring rubric

## PROGRAM ASSESSMENT

BSBA
$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l}\hline \begin{array}{l}\text { 1. Demonstrate an understanding of } \\ \text { decision making from a Christian } \\ \text { world view }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { 1a- Basics of Christian Faith } \\ \text { 1d- Integration of knowledge } \\ \text { 2b- Critical thinking } \\ \text { 3a- Commitment to truth } \\ \text { 3c- Human worth } \\ \text { 3d- Stewardship } \\ \text { 3e Life calling } \\ \text { 3f- Service } \\ \text { 3g- Agent of change } \\ \text { 3h- Selflessness }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { When a sample of 25 MGT 425 } \\ \text { papers are reviewed by three business } \\ \text { faculty, 90\% will demonstrate an } \\ \text { understanding of decision making } \\ \text { from a Christian world view.** }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Criteria not met. } \\ \text { Faculty review of nine } \\ \text { papers indicated 46\% } \\ \text { included decision } \\ \text { making from a }\end{array} \\ \text { Christian world view. }\end{array} \begin{array}{l}\text { Revision of MGT 425 to } \\ \text { include more emphasis on } \\ \text { Revision of the assignment to } \\ \text { require biblical citations. }\end{array}\right]$

PROGRAM ASSESSMENT
BS - BUSINESS INFORMATION SYSTEMS

| Objective | World Changing Outcome |  <br> Procedures | Assessment Results | Use of the Results |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. Demonstrate an <br> understanding of Christian <br> principles in ethical <br> decision making. | 1a- Basics of Christian Faith <br> 1d- Integration of knowledge <br> 2b- Critical thinking <br> 3a- Commitment to truth <br> 3c- Human worth <br> 3d- Stewardship <br> 3f- Service <br> 3g- Agent of change <br> 3h- Selflessness | When a sample of 50 BIS215 final <br> projects are reviewed by three <br> business faculty, 90\% will <br> demonstrate an understanding of <br> decision making from a Christian <br> world view.** | Insufficient data |  |
| 2. Demonstrate knowledge <br> of a broad set of technical <br> skills used in business <br> information systems. | 1c- Competency in a discipline <br> 2f Leadership <br> 2g Servanthood <br> 2d Stewardship | Pre/post test: Students at the end <br> of the program will have 10\% <br> higher scores than student at the <br> beginning of the program. | On-site students: <br> mean score 16\% <br> higher at end <br> Online students: <br> mean score 48\% <br> higher at end |  |
| 3. Develop critical <br> thinking, problem solving, <br> and communication skills. | 1d Integration of knowledge <br> 2a Creativity <br> 2b Critical thinking <br> 2c Communication <br> 2e Lifelong learning <br> 3b Inclusion | When a sample of BIS 450 final <br> project are reviewed by three <br> business faculty, 90\% will <br> demonstrate critical thinking, <br> problem solving and <br> communication skills. ** | 70\% <br> Problem Solving: <br> $80 \%$ <br> Communication: $80 \%$ |  |

** as indicated by a faculty-generated scoring rubric.

## PROGRAM ASSESSMENT

BS - Management

| Objective | World Changing Outcome | Assessment Criteria \& Procedures | Assessment Results | Use of the Results |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Demonstrate an understanding of the Christian worldview and ethical decision. | 1a- Basics of Christian Faith <br> 1d- Integration of knowledge <br> 2b- Critical thinking <br> 3a- Commitment to truth <br> 3c- Human worth <br> 3d- Stewardship <br> 3e- Life calling <br> 3f- Service <br> 3g- Agent of change <br> 3h- Selflessness | When a sample of 50 MGT 425 papers are reviewed by three business faculty, $90 \%$ will demonstrate an understanding of decision making from a Christian world view.** | 4\% of students scored "proficient" | Re-write ADM425 to include Christian principles. |
| 2. Demonstrate knowledge of management, leadership, and management-related principles. | 1c- Competency in a discipline <br> 2f- Leadership <br> 2g- Servanthood <br> 2d- Stewardship | $90 \%$ of students who take a facultygenerated pre-test at the beginning of the program will demonstrate a $10 \%$ improvement in scores on the same test given towards the end of the program | Post-test scores are $18 \%$ higher than pre-test scores. |  |
| 3. Develop critical thinking, problem solving, and communication skills. | 1d- Integration of knowledge <br> 2a- Creativity <br> 2b- Critical thinking <br> 2c- Communication <br> 2e- Lifelong learning <br> 3b- Inclusion | When a sample of 50 ADM 495 papers are reviewed by three business faculty, $90 \%$ will demonstrate critical thinking, problem solving and communication skills. ** | 60\% of students scored "proficient" | ADM495 rewritten |
| 4. Integrate core knowledge into an applied management framework. | 1d Integration of knowledge <br> 2d Self-discipline <br> 2f Leadership | When a sample of 50 ADM 495 papers are reviewed by three business faculty, $90 \%$ will demonstrate integration of core knowledge into an applied management framework.** | 40\% of students scored "proficient" | ADM 495 rewritten |
| 5. Develop their ability to apply technology to business opportunities within the workplace. | 2g Agents of change | When a sample of 50 ADM 316 papers are reviewed by three business faculty, $90 \%$ will demonstrate an ability to apply technology to business opportunities within the workplace.** | 82.3\% of students scored "proficient" |  |

** As indicated by a faculty-generated scoring rubric.

## PROGRAM ASSESSMENT

## BACHELOR OF SCIENCE IN MARKETING

| Objective | Assessment Criteria \& Procedures | Assessment Results | Use of the Results |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. Demonstrate an understanding <br> of sales and applied marketing <br> from a Christian world view. | When a sample of 25 MGT425 <br> papers are reviewed by three <br> business faculty, 90\% will <br> demonstrate an understanding of <br> sales and applied marketing from a <br> Christian world view.** | Program Review 06-07 |  |
| 2. Develop critical thinking and <br> problem solving skills | When a sample of 25 projects from <br> MKG496 are reviewed by three <br> business faculty, 90\% will <br> demonstrate that students have <br> critical thinking and problem <br> solving skills.** | Program Review 06-07. |  |
| 3. Demonstrate the ability to <br> apply sales and marketing <br> principles to business <br> opportunities in the marketplace. | When a sample of 25 individual <br> papers from MKG353 are reviewed <br> by three business faculty, 90\% will <br> demonstrate that students are able to <br> apply sales and marketing principles <br> to business opportunities in the <br> marketplace.** | Program Review 06-07 |  |
| 4. Integrate core knowledge into <br> a sales and applied marketing <br> framework. | When a sample of 25 projects from <br> MKG496 are reviewed by three <br> business faculty, 90\% will <br> demonstrate that students are able to <br> integrate core knowledge into a <br> sales and applied marketing <br> framework.** | Program Review 06-07 |  |

**as indicated by a faculty-generated scoring rubric

## PROGRAM ASSESSMENT

MS- Management

| Objective | World Changing Outcome | Assessment Criteria \& Procedures | Assessment Results | Use of the Results |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.Demonstrate an understanding of decision making from a Christian worldview | 1a- Basics of Christian Faith <br> 1d- Integration of knowledge <br> 2b- Critical thinking <br> 3a- Commitment to truth <br> 3c- Human worth <br> 3d- Stewardship <br> 3e Life calling <br> 3f- Service <br> 3g- Agent of change <br> 3h- Selflessness | When a sample of 25 ADM 525 papers are reviewed by three business faculty, $90 \%$ will demonstrate an understanding of decision making from a Christian world view.** | Criteria not met. Faculty review of 25 ADM 525 papers indicated that 8\% demonstrate an understanding of decision making from a Christian world view. | Revision of ADM 525 to include a Christian perspective. Assignment revised to require Biblical citations. |
| 2. Master advanced subject matter in management and leadership. | 1c Competency in a discipline 2f Leadership 2g Servanthood 2d Stewardship | Pre/Post Test: 10\% difference in scores on the same test given at the beginning and the end of the program. | 6.71\% difference in scores from pretest to post test. | Program assessment: FY 2004-05 |
| 3. Integrate core knowledge and practical experience. | 1d Integration of knowledge <br> 2e Lifelong learning <br> 2f Leadership <br> 2g Servanthood <br> 3d Stewardship <br> 3g Agent of change | When a sample of 25 Applied Management Projects are reviewed by three business faculty, $90 \%$ will demonstrate that students are able to integrate core knowledge and practical experience.** | Criteria not met. Faculty review of 22 Applied Management Projects indicate that 1006\% integrate core knowledge and practical experience. | Revision of <br> Applied <br> Management <br> Project, Fall, 2000. |
| 4. Develop the skills necessary to function as an effective manager. | 2a Creativity <br> 2b Critical thinking <br> 2c Communication <br> 2d Self-discipline <br> 2e Lifelong learning <br> 2f Leadership <br> 2g Servanthood <br> 3b Inclusion <br> 3g Agent of change <br> 3h Selflessness | When a sample of 25 Applied Management Projects are reviewed by three business faculty, $90 \%$ will demonstrate that students have developed skills necessary to function as effective managers.** | Criteria met. Faculty review of 22 Applied Management Projects indicated that $100 \%$ demonstrate management skills. | Revision of <br> Applied <br> Management <br> Project, Fall, 2000. |

** as indicated by a faculty-generated scoring rubric

## PROGRAM ASSESSMENT

## MBA

| Objective | World Changing Outcome | Assessment Criteria \& Procedures A | Assessment Results | Use of the Results |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.Demonstrate an understanding of decision making from a Christian worldview. | 1a- Basics of Christian Faith <br> 1d- Integration of knowledge <br> 2b- Critical thinking <br> 3a- Commitment to truth <br> 3c- Human worth <br> 3d- Stewardship <br> 3e Life calling <br> 3f- Service <br> 3g- Agent of change <br> 3h- Selflessness | When a sample of 50 ADM 519 papers are reviewed by three business faculty, $90 \%$ will demonstrate an understanding of decision making from a Christian world view.** | 50\% of papers indicate proficiency | Re-write rubric to more accurately measure expectations. |
| 2. Master advanced subject matter in the functional areas of business. | 1c Competency in a discipline 2f Leadership <br> 2g Servanthood <br> 2d Stewardship | Mean scores on a CAAHE-generated MBA test given to beginning and ending classes will demonstrate a $10 \%$ improvement in scores. |  Pre-test mean: <br> $44.88 \%$  <br> Post-test mean:  <br> $51.95 \%$  |  |
| 3. Integrate core knowledge and practical experience. | 1d Integration of knowledge <br> 2e Lifelong learning <br> 2f Leadership <br> 2g Servanthood <br> 3d Stewardship <br> 3g Agent of change | When a sample of 50 Applied Management Projects are reviewed by three business faculty, $90 \%$ will demonstrate that students are able to integrate core knowledge and practical experience.** | $100 \%$ of papers indicated proficiency. |  |
| 4. Develop the skills needed to function as an effective manager. | 2f Leadership <br> 2g Servanthood <br> 3d Stewardship <br> 3g Agent of change <br> 3f Service <br> 3h Selflessness | When a sample of 50 ADM 559 papers are reviewed by three business faculty, $90 \%$ will demonstrate that students have developed the skills necessary to function as effective managers. ** | $100 \%$ of papers indicated proficiency. | Eliminate this objective: Subsume under Objective \#3 |
| 5. Develop their ability to apply technology to business opportunities within the workplace. | 1d Integration of knowledge 2e Lifelong learning | When a sample of 50 ADM 566 course assignments are reviewed by three business faculty, $90 \%$ will demonstrate an ability to apply technology to business opportunities within the workplace.** | $100 \%$ of papers indicated proficiency. | Rewrite ADM566 to include assignment which will better measure this objective. |

[^0]Annual Assessment Report
Program: Masters in Education 2006 Unit Assessment System Final Report


| Instrument of Evaluation | Assessment Measures | Performance Criteria | Collection and Analysis of Data | Assessment-based Changes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Spiritual |  |  |  |  |
| Faculty Evaluation | Administrative Observation of Faculty | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4=Accomplished; } \\ & \text { 3=Proficient; } \\ & \text { 2= Emerging; } \\ & \text { 1= Improving } \end{aligned}$ | Average score for all faculty was $\mathbf{3 . 8 0}$ on the 4.0 scale representing an increase in .01 from the previous year. | This high score is the second year in a row that faculty evaluations indicated that the faculty continues to integrate spiritual principles throughout the curriculum. Study assignments added to the core courses to prompt faculty to engage in increased spiritual focus seem to have been effective. The biblical worldview video that was added to the curriculum to assist faculty in their spiritual focus helped to improve the scores. |


| Faculty Growth Self- <br> Assessment | Annually faculty members assess areas for personal professional grow initiatives. | The data identified targeted areas of growth. The data indicates what percentage of faculty chose the area for growth initiative. | Spiritual Growth was mentioned $\mathbf{8 4 . \%}$ of the time representing a $9 \%$ decrease from last year. | An emphasis on spiritual growth has substantially decreased the number of faculty who seek assistance in this area. Substantial effort was made to provide assistance for faculty in this area including the development of the Maxwell Bible workshop activities. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| End-of-Course Surveys | Students assess faculty members on their effectiveness of demonstrating their Christian faith. | 5 =Outstanding <br> 4 = Above <br> Average <br> 3= Average <br> 2=Below average <br> 1= Needs <br> Improvement | Average score was 4.78 on a 5.0 scale. This is up .08 from last year. | Students gave high ratings to instructors' abilities to demonstrate a clear Christian faith. While faculty evaluation and instructor selfevaluation did not rate as highly, it is good to know that students see a distinct Christian difference in the faculty. An emphasis on spiritual integration had a significant impact as reflected statistically in the end-of-course surveys data. |
| Graduation Survey | Students complete a survey during the final course in the program to assess the overall effectiveness of the program | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4=Accomplished; } \\ & \text { 3=Proficient; } \\ & \text { 2= Emerging; } \\ & \text { 1= Improving } \end{aligned}$ | Average score was 3.23 on a 4.0 scale representing a . 19 increase. | Changes in the spiritual realm of the program have had some impact on overall impact on candidates' spiritual dimension. This represents a significant improvement in the in how our students rate the spiritual impact on their lives. |
| Curriculum |  |  |  |  |
| Faculty Administrative Evaluation | Administrative Observation of Faculty | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4=Accomplished; } \\ & \text { 3=Proficient; } \\ & \text { 2= Emerging; } \\ & \text { 1= Improving } \end{aligned}$ | Average score for all faculty was 3.90 on a 4.0 scale representing a .02 decrease from last year. | The faculty development focus on training facilitators on the new curriculum was successful. The average score validates the effectiveness of our efforts to assist faculty in understanding the curriculum. |
| Faculty Feedback | Faculty members provide feedback about the curriculum and assessment after teaching each course. | 5 =Outstanding <br> 4 = Above <br> Average <br> 3= Average <br> 2=Below average <br> 1= Needs <br> Improvement | Average score for curriculum was 4.56 on a 5.0 scale representing an increase . 10 . | Faculty members appear to understand and effectively use the curriculum that is provided. Full-time faculty members spent significant time improving the curriculum in the M.Ed. Program. This focus has made a significant impact on faculty understanding of the curriculum. EDU 550 and EDU 556 appear to need some work in this area. |


| Portfolio Assessment | Candidates, mentors, and advisors assess candidate effectiveness on domain indicators related to curriculum proficiency. | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 4=\text { Accomplished } \\ & 3=\text { Proficient } \\ & 2=\text { Emerging } \\ & 1=\text { Improving } \end{aligned}$ | Average score on portfolio assessment was 3.33 on a 4.0 scale representing a increase of .01 . | Candidate portfolio assessment data indicated that students in the program score well on their curriculum portfolio evaluation. This is a slight decrease from the previous year. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Graduation Survey | Students complete a survey during the final course in the program to assess the overall effectiveness of the program. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4=Accomplished; } \\ & \text { 3=Proficient; } \\ & \text { 2= Emerging; } \\ & \text { 1= Improving } \end{aligned}$ | Average score was 3.45 on a 4.0 scale. This represents a decrease of .01 from last year. | This score was not impacted by recent curriculum changes since all candidates who have completed the program are on the old curriculum. The changes in the curriculum has not had any impact on how students rate the program related to their personal growth in understanding curriculum. This score expresses a very positive satisfaction level with the program in the area of curriculum. |
| Faculty Growth Plan | Faculty self-asses professional growth opportunities and set growth goals. | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 4=\text { Accomplished } \\ & 3=\text { Proficient } \\ & 2=\text { Emerging } \\ & 1=\text { Improving } \end{aligned}$ | Curriculum was mentioned as a need $\mathbf{4 6 . 7 \%}$ of the time representing an increase in $8 \%$ from last year. | The faculty self-assessment percentage in understanding the curriculum, while $8 \%$ higher than last year, still remains relatively low. The increase can be attributed to the unveiling of the new Glacier Mist Curriculum. Faculty development activities should focus on further explanation of the new curriculum. |
| End-of-Course Surveys | Students assess faculty members on their effectiveness of teaching the curriculum. | 5 =Outstanding <br> 4 = Above <br> Average <br> 3= Average <br> 2=Below average <br> 1= Needs <br> Improvement | Average score was <br> 4.66 <br> on a 5.0 scale up .31 from last year. Last year's rating was 4.35. | Students rated instructors' abilities to effectively cover key components of the curriculum very high. Scores indicate that the vast majority of faculty generally cover the course module. Faculty development activities designed to help faculty with the changes in curriculum appear to have been very effective. A focus on specifically improving EDU 550 and EDU 557 by working with the faculty had a significant impact. EDU 550 was rated 4.64 and EDU 557 was rated 4.69. |


| Instrument of Evaluation | Assessment Measures | Performance Criteria | Collection and Analysis of Data |  | Assessment-based Changes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assessment |  |  |  |  |  |
| Faculty Administrative Evaluation | Administrative Observation of Faculty | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4=Accomplished; } \\ & \text { 3=Proficient; } \\ & \text { 2= Emerging; } \\ & \text { 1= Improving } \end{aligned}$ | Average score for all faculty was 3.74 on a 4.0 scale representing a . 05 decrease from last year. | The faculty training focus on assessment appears to have made a significant positive impact. This is the second year in a row that faculty have been rated high for assessment. The sharing of assessment ideas during the winter online faculty meeting might help explain this high score. |  |
| Faculty Feedback | Faculty members provide feedback about the curriculum and assessment after teaching each course. | 5 =Outstanding <br> 4 = Above <br> Average <br> 3= Average <br> 2=Below average <br> 1= Needs <br> Improvement | Average score for assessment was 4.47 on a 5.0 scale representing a decrease of . 03. | Faculty members have indicated that the embedded assessment pieces are effective in measuring course objectives. The data indicate that the curriculum assessment is effective in meeting objectives. A low score for the EDU 589 Diversity score skewed the data lower. The faculty should explore ways to improve the assessment of the diversity course, a new course to the curriculum. |  |
| Portfolio Assessment | Candidates, mentors, and advisors assess candidate effectiveness on domain indicators related to assessment proficiency. | $\begin{aligned} & 4=\text { Accomplished } \\ & 3=\text { Proficient } \\ & 2=\text { Emerging } \\ & 1=\text { Improving } \end{aligned}$ | Average score on portfolio assessment was $\mathbf{3 . 3 0}$ on a 4.0 scale representing a increase of .01 from last year. | Candidate portfolio assessment data indicate that students in the program score well on personal ability to create effective assessment pieces in their portfolio evaluation. |  |
| Faculty Growth Plan | Faculty selfassess <br> professional <br> growth <br> opportunities and set growth goals. | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 4=\text { Accomplished } \\ & 3=\text { Proficient } \\ & 2=\text { Emerging } \\ & 1=\text { Improving } \end{aligned}$ | Assessment was mentioned only $\mathbf{2 6 . 6 \%}$ of the time a decrease of 20\%. | The faculty development focus on assessment last year appears to have made a significant difference in what the faculty described as areas of need. Faculty development should focus on other areas in the year to come. |  |


| End-of-Course Surveys | Students assess faculty members on their effectiveness of faculty assessment. | 5 =Outstanding <br> 4 = Above <br> Average <br> 3= Average <br> 2=Below average <br> 1= Needs <br> Improvement | Average score was 4.46 on a 5.0 scale. That is down .01 from last year. | Students rate instructors' abilities to effectively assess student work very high. The emphasis on improving faculty assessment through faculty development and the emphasis on new faculty orientation appears to be effective since these scores are about the same as last year. This might be an area of emphasis in next year's professional growth sessions. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Graduation Survey | Students complete a survey during the final course in the program to assess the overall effectiveness of the program | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4=Accomplished; } \\ & \text { 3=Proficient; } \\ & \text { 2= Emerging; } \\ & \text { 1= Improving } \end{aligned}$ | Average score was <br> 3.43 <br> on a 4.0 scale. <br> This is the same score from a year ago. | This score was not impacted by recent curriculum changes since all candidates who have completed the program are on the old curriculum. This score expresses a very positive satisfaction level with the program in the area of assessment. |  |
| Instrument of Evaluation | Assessment Measures | Performance Criteria | Collection and Analysis of Data |  | Assessment-based Changes |
| Instruction |  |  |  |  |  |
| Faculty <br> Administrative <br> Evaluation | Administrative Observation of Faculty | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4=Accomplished; } \\ & \text { 3=Proficient; } \\ & \text { 2= Emerging; } \\ & \text { 1= Improving } \end{aligned}$ | Average score for all faculty was 3.43 on a 4.0 scale representing a modest improvement on last year's scores. |  | This has now become the lowest of evaluated areas for faculty. The end-of-course surveys from candidates collaborate the finding that faculty could use help in providing a variety of instructional approaches in their teaching. The focus during the spring faculty sessions was too late to impact this score. Next year's data should be revealing. |
| Faculty <br> Feedback | Faculty members provide feedback about the recommended instructional approaches built into faculty guides. | $\begin{aligned} & 5=\text { Outstanding } \\ & 4=\text { Above } \\ & \text { Average } \\ & 3=\text { Average } \\ & 2=\text { Below average } \\ & 1=\text { Needs } \\ & \text { Improvement } \end{aligned}$ | Average score for assessment was 4.45 on a 5.0 scale representing an increase of . 05. |  | Faculty members indicated that the recommended instruction concepts are somewhat effective in helping them facilitate the courses that they instruct. The data indicate that the focus on professional growth in this area has had a positive impact. |


| Portfolio Assessment | Candidates, mentors, and advisors assess candidate effectiveness on domain indicators related to instructional proficiency. | $\begin{aligned} & 4=\text { Accomplished } \\ & 3=\text { Proficient } \\ & 2=\text { Emerging } \\ & 1=\text { Improving } \end{aligned}$ | Average score on portfolio assessment was 3.28 on a 4.0 scale representing a increase of .01 | Candidate portfolio assessment data indicate that students in the program score well on personal "instruction" portfolio evaluation. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Faculty Growth Plan | Faculty selfassess <br> professional <br> growth <br> opportunities and set growth goals. | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 4=\text { Accomplished } \\ & 3=\text { Proficient } \\ & 2=\text { Emerging } \\ & 1=\text { Improving } \end{aligned}$ | Instruction was mentioned 66.9\% of the time representing an $8 \%$ increase. | More than half the faculty indicated a need to improve in personal instruction skills. The 8\% increase warrants more extensive increase in focus for the upcoming year. The summer training session will include instruction as one focus. |
| End-of-Course Surveys | Students assess faculty members on individual effectiveness of teaching instruction. | 5 =Outstanding <br> 4 = Above <br> Average <br> 3= Average <br> 2=Below average <br> 1= Needs <br> Improvement | Average score was 4.39 on a 5.0 scale. This is up .15 from last year. | Students rated instructors' abilities to effectively vary instructional approaches as high. This represents a significant increase from the previous year. Faculty members themselves appreciated some help in this area. The significant increase in ratings indicate that the emphasis on sharing ideas at the winter online faculty session was very effective. |
| Graduation Survey | Students complete a survey during the final course in the program to assess the overall effectiveness of the program | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4=Accomplished; } \\ & \text { 3=Proficient; } \\ & \text { 2= Emerging; } \\ & \text { 1= Improving } \end{aligned}$ | Average score was 3.46 <br> on a 4.0 scale. This is up . 12 from last year. | This score was not impacted by recent curriculum changes since all candidates who have completed the program are on the old curriculum. This score expresses a very positive satisfaction level with the program in the area of instruction. |


| Instrument of Evaluation | Assessment Measures | Performance Criteria | Collection and Analysis of Data | Assessment-based Changes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Managing Classroom Learning |  |  |  |  |
| Faculty Administrative Evaluation | Administrative Observation of Faculty | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4=Accomplished; } \\ & \text { 3=Proficient; } \\ & \text { 2= Emerging; } \\ & \text { 1= Improving } \end{aligned}$ | Average score for all faculty was 3.82 on a 4.0 scale representing a .01 increase from last year. | New faculty training has focused on the need to establish a collaborative work environment in the classroom. The high average indicates that the focus is bearing positive results. The data indicate the need to maintain the same focus. The spring faculty sessions should have a significant impact. Approximately 97 faculty members attended the training sessions. A dvd was sent to the rest of the faculty. |
| Portfolio Assessment | Candidates, mentors, and advisors assess candidate effectiveness on domain indicators related to managing classroom learning proficiency. | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 4=\text { Accomplished } \\ & 3=\text { Proficient } \\ & 2=\text { Emerging } \\ & 1=\text { Improving } \end{aligned}$ | Average score on portfolio assessment was 3.24 on a 4.0 scale representing a decrease of .01 from last year. | Candidate portfolio assessment data indicate that students in the program score well on the classroom management portfolio evaluation. |
| Graduation Survey | Students complete a survey during the final course in the program to assess the overall effectiveness of the program | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4=Accomplished; } \\ & \text { 3=Proficient; } \\ & \text { 2= Emerging; } \\ & \text { 1= Improving } \end{aligned}$ | Average score was 3.36 <br> on a 4.0 scale. This is up . 12 from last year. | This score was not impacted by recent curriculum changes since all candidates who have completed the program are on the old curriculum. This score expresses a very positive satisfaction level with the program in the area of managing classroom learning. |
| Graduation Survey | Students complete a survey during the final course in the program to assess the overall effectiveness of the program | Strongly <br> Disagree <br> Disagree <br> Agree <br> Strongly Agree | 99.2\% agreed or strongly agreed Collaborative atmosphere was positive | Students appreciate the opportunity to dialogue with colleagues which has a positive impact on their learning. |


| Faculty Growth Plan | Faculty selfassess professional growth opportunities and set growth goals. | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 4=\text { Accomplished } \\ & 3=\text { Proficient } \\ & 2=\text { Emerging } \\ & 1=\text { Improving } \end{aligned}$ | Classroom climate was mentioned $\mathbf{4 1 . 9 \%}$ of the time representing a $28 \%$ increase from last year. | This dramatic increase is surprising. The summer focus group should look closely at this data to determine the direction of focus for this area. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| End-of-Course Surveys | Students assess faculty members on the appropriateness of the classroom environment. | $\begin{aligned} & 5=\text { Outstanding } \\ & 4=\text { Above } \\ & \text { Average } \\ & 3=\text { Average } \\ & 2=\text { Below average } \\ & 1=\text { Needs } \end{aligned}$ | Average score was <br> 4.54 <br> on a 5.0 scale. This is up .09 from last year. | Students gave very high ratings to instructors' abilities to effectively provide an appropriate classroom atmosphere. The emphasis during the spring faculty growth sessions explains the significant increase in student ratings in this area. The emphasis during new faculty orientation seems to set a tone that professors carry throughout their teaching experience with Indiana Wesleyan University. |
| Instrument of Evaluation | Assessment Measures | Performance Criteria | Collection and Analysis of Data | Assessment-based Changes |
| Building Learning Networks |  |  |  |  |
| Portfolio Assessment | Candidates, mentors, and advisors assess candidate effectiveness on domain indicators related to building learning networks proficiency. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4=Accomplished; } \\ & \text { 3=Proficient; } \\ & \text { 2= Emerging; } \\ & \text { 1= Improving } \end{aligned}$ | Average score on portfolio assessment was 3.21 on a 4.0 scale the same as last year | Candidate portfolio assessment data indicate that students in the program score well on their ability to grow through effective dialogue with other educators through their portfolio evaluation. |
| Faculty Growth Plan | Faculty selfassess professional growth opportunities and set growth goals. | $\begin{aligned} & 4=\text { Accomplished } \\ & 3=\text { Proficient } \\ & 2=\text { Emerging } \\ & 1=\text { Improving } \end{aligned}$ | Networking was mentioned 79\% by the faculty representing a 5\% decrease from last year. | The online faculty meeting which focused on faculty members networking with other was very well received. This initiative occurred after the faculty completed the growth plan. Next year's rating should reflect this faculty development effort. |


| Graduation Survey | Students complete a survey during the final course in the program to assess the overall effectiveness of the program | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4=Accomplished; } \\ & \text { 3=Proficient; } \\ & \text { 2= Emerging; } \\ & \text { 1= Improving } \end{aligned}$ | Average score was 3.40 on a 4.0 scale. This score is down .03 from last year. | This score was represents a decrease from the previous year after extensive changes in the curriculum. This score expresses a very positive satisfaction level with the program in the area of networking but the slight decrease in rating warrants further monitoring. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| End-of-Course Surveys | Students assess faculty members use of multiple resources in their instruction. | 5 =Outstanding <br> 4 = Above <br> Average <br> 3= Average <br> 2=Below average <br> 1= Needs <br> Improvement | Average score was <br> 4.46 <br> on a 5.0 scale representing no change from last year. | Students gave very high ratings to instructors' ability to effectively assist their development in collaborating with other teacher. These high scores justify the lack of emphasis in this area at the past summer training session. |
| Instrument of Evaluation | Assessment Measures | Performance Criteria | Collection and Analysis of Data | Assessment-based Changes |
| Diversity |  |  |  |  |
| Graduation Survey | Students complete a survey during the final course in the program to assess the overall effectiveness of the program | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4=Accomplished; } \\ & \text { 3=Proficient; } \\ & \text { 2= Emerging; } \\ & \text { 1= Improving } \end{aligned}$ | Average score was <br> 3.48 <br> on a 4.0 scale. This is an increase in .11 from last year. | Candidates responded to the survey statement: The M.Ed. program allowed me to sharpen the skills and knowledge required to provide greater success for multiculturally diverse pop'n of students. This score represents an improvement from last year indicated the new course has made a positive difference. With low scores on other issues related to the diversity course the faculty should consider how to improve the course. |
| Graduation Survey | Students complete a survey during the final course in the program to assess the overall effectiveness of the program | Strongly <br> Disagree <br> Disagree <br> Agree <br> Strongly Agree | 96.5\% of respondents noted that they had grown in their ability to work with diverse pop’n | The new diversity course is having a positive impact on students' ability to work with diverse populations. |


| Technology |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| End-of-Course Surveys | Students assess faculty members use of multiple resources in their instruction. | 5 =Outstanding <br> 4 = Above <br> Average <br> 3= Average <br> 2=Below average <br> 1= Needs <br> Improvement | Average score was <br> 4.48 <br> on a 5.0 scale. This represents no change from last year. | Students gave very high ratings on their growth in technology after they complete the technology course. The average score on technology related issues was very high. Keeping the computers throughout the program should increase this score even more. |
| Life-Long Learning |  |  |  |  |
| Graduation Survey | Students complete a survey during the final course in the program to assess the overall effectiveness of the program | Strongly <br> Disagree <br> Disagree <br> Agree <br> Strongly Agree | Average score life long learning was 3.55/ 4.0. | A major goal of the M.Ed. Program is to inspire candidates to desire to become a life-long learner. This score represents a positive reflection on how the program as a whole encouraged students to become life-long learners. |

Transition to Teaching/Career Builders for Educators
SPIRITUAL

| Instrument of Evaluation | Assessor/ <br> Assessed | Frequency of Use | Assessment Measures | Performance Criteria | Data Reporting | Sources for Triangulation of Data |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Faculty Observation Form | Administrator/ faculty | Annually | Presence and magnitude of selected spiritual indicators | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 5= outstanding } \\ & 4=\text { above average } \\ & 3=\text { average } \\ & 2=\text { below average } \\ & 1=\text { needs improvement } \end{aligned}$ | Means, medians, modes, standard deviations, and anecdotal notes | Faculty Observations, Faculty Growth SelfAssessments, Faculty Growth Plan, Student End-of-Course Surveys, and Graduation Surveys |
| Faculty Growth Self-Assessment Form | Faculty member/ self | Annually | Presence and magnitude of selected spiritual indicators | 5= outstanding <br> 4= above average <br> $3=$ average <br> $2=$ below average <br> $1=$ needs improvement | Means, medians, modes, standard deviations, and anecdotal notes | Faculty Observations, Faculty Growth SelfAssessments, Faculty Growth Plan, Student End-of-Course Surveys, and Graduation Surveys |
| Faculty Growth Plan | Faculty member/ self | Annually | Self-report of completion of curriculum goals in prior year plan | 5= outstanding <br> 4= above average <br> 3= average <br> 2= below average <br> $1=$ needs improvement | Means, medians, modes, standard deviations, and anecdotal notes | Faculty Observations, Faculty Growth SelfAssessments, Faculty Growth Plan, Student End-of-Course Surveys, and Graduation Surveys |
| Student End-ofCourse Surveys | Student/ course materials and professor | At conclusion of each course | Presence and magnitude of selected spiritual indicators | 5= outstanding <br> 4= above average <br> 3= average <br> $2=$ below average <br> $1=$ needs improvement | Means, medians, modes, standard deviations, and anecdotal notes | Faculty Observations, Faculty Growth SelfAssessments, Faculty Growth Plan, Student End-of-Course Surveys, and Graduation Surveys |
| Program Completion Survey | Students/ program | During last course of program | Presence and magnitude of selected spiritual indicators | 5= outstanding <br> 4= above average <br> 3= average <br> 2= below average <br> $1=$ needs improvement | Means, medians, modes, standard deviations, and anecdotal notes | Faculty Observations, Faculty Growth SelfAssessments, Faculty Growth Plan, Student End-of-Course Surveys, and Graduation Surveys |

CURRICULUM

| Instrument of Evaluation | Assessor/ Assessed | Frequency of Use | Assessment Measures | Performance Criteria | Data Reporting | Sources for Triangulation of Data |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Faculty Observations | Program administrator/ faculty | Annually | Consistency of application of a course's curriculum elements | $5=$ outstanding <br> 4= above average <br> 3= average <br> 2= below average <br> $1=$ needs <br> improvement | Means, medians, modes, standard deviations, and anecdotal notes | Faculty Observations, Faculty Self-Assessments, Faculty Growth Plan Assessment, Faculty Course Evaluation, Student End-of-Course Surveys, UR Observation Form, Supervising Teacher Assessment Form, Program completion, and Employment surveys |
| Faculty Course Evaluation Form | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Faculty/ } \\ & \text { course } \\ & \text { curriculum } \end{aligned}$ | After each course taught | Presence and quality of selected curriculum scope, sequence, pacing, materials, and delivery mode elements | 5= outstanding <br> 4= above average <br> 3= average <br> 2= below average <br> $1=$ needs <br> improvement | Means, medians, modes, standard deviations, and anecdotal notes | Faculty Observations, Faculty Self-Assessments, Faculty Growth Plan Assessment, Faculty Course Evaluation, Student End-of-Course Surveys, UR Observation Form, Supervising Teacher Assessment Form, Program completion, and Employment surveys |
| Faculty Growth Self-Assessment Form | Faculty member/ self | Annually | Magnitude of knowledge, skill, and dispositions in curriculum selection and implementation | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 5=\text { outstanding } \\ & 4=\text { above average } \\ & 3=\text { average } \\ & 2=\text { below average } \\ & 1=\text { needs } \\ & \text { improvement } \end{aligned}$ | Means, medians, modes, standard deviations, and anecdotal notes | Faculty Observations, Faculty Self-Assessments, Faculty Growth Plan Assessment, Faculty Course Evaluation, Student End-of-Course Surveys, UR Observation Form, Supervising Teacher Assessment Form, Program completion, and Employment surveys |


| Faculty Growth Plan | Faculty member/own growth plan's results | Annually | Self-report of completion of curriculum goals in prior year plan | 5= outstanding <br> 4= above average <br> 3= average <br> 2= below average <br> $1=$ needs <br> improvement | Means, medians, modes, standard deviations, and anecdotal notes | Faculty Observations, Faculty Self-Assessments, Faculty Growth Plan Assessment, Faculty Course Evaluation, Student End-of-Course Surveys, UR Observation Form, Supervising Teacher Assessment Form, Program completion, and Employment surveys |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student End-ofCourse Surveys | Student/ curriculum elements and professor's fidelity to course curriculum | At conclusion of each course | Presence and magnitude of selected curriculum indicators | 5= outstanding <br> 4= above average <br> 3= average <br> 2= below average <br> $1=$ needs <br> improvement | Means, medians, modes, standard deviations, and anecdotal notes | Faculty Observations, Faculty Self-Assessments, Faculty Growth Plan Assessment, Faculty Course Evaluation, Student End-of-Course Surveys, UR Observation Form, Supervising Teacher Assessment Form, Program completion, and Employment surveys |
| University Representative Observations | University representative/ student teacher | Three times each during EDU575,579, and 581 | Magnitude of knowledge, implementation skill and dispositions in selected curriculum indicators | 5= outstanding <br> 4= above average <br> 3= average <br> $2=$ below average <br> $1=$ needs <br> improvement | Means, medians, modes, standard deviations, and anecdotal notes | Faculty Observations, Faculty Self-Assessments, Faculty Growth Plan Assessment, Faculty Course Evaluation, Student End-of-Course Surveys, UR Observation Form, Supervising Teacher Assessment Form, Program completion, and Employment surveys |


| Supervising Teacher Assessment Form | Supervising teacher/ student teacher | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Two times each } \\ & \text { during } \\ & \text { EDU575,579, } \\ & \text { and } 581 \end{aligned}$ | Magnitude of knowledge, implementation skill and dispositions in selected curriculum indicators | 5= outstanding <br> 4= above average <br> 3= average <br> 2= below average <br> $1=$ needs <br> improvement | Means, medians, modes, standard deviations, and anecdotal notes | Faculty Observations, Faculty Self-Assessments, Faculty Growth Plan Assessment, Faculty Course Evaluation, Student End-of-Course Surveys, UR Observation Form, Supervising Teacher Assessment Form, Program completion, and Employment surveys |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Program Completion Survey | Student/ program | During last course of program | Assess program's contribution toward student's growth in knowledge of, skill in implementation of, and dispositions toward selected curriculum indicators | 5= outstanding <br> 4= above average <br> 3= average <br> 2= below average <br> $1=$ needs <br> improvement | Means, medians, modes, standard deviations, and anecdotal notes | Faculty Observations, Faculty Self-Assessments, Faculty Growth Plan Assessment, Faculty Course Evaluation, Student End-of-Course Surveys, UR Observation Form, Supervising Teacher Assessment Form, Program completion, and Employment surveys |

ASSESSMENT

| Instrument of Evaluation | Assessor/ Assessed | Frequency of Use | Assessment Measures | Performance Criteria | Data Reporting | Sources for Triangulation of Data |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Faculty Observation Form | Administrator/ faculty | Annually | Magnitude of knowledge, skill, and dispositions in selection and implementation of assessment of student learning strategies | 5= outstanding <br> 4= above average <br> 3= average <br> 2= below average <br> $1=$ needs <br> improvement | Means, medians, modes, standard deviations, and anecdotal notes | Faculty Observations, Faculty Self-Assessments, Faculty Growth Plan Assessment, Faculty Course Evaluation, Student End-of-Course Surveys, UR Observation Form, Supervising Teacher Assessment Form, Program completion, and Employment surveys |


| Faculty Growth Self-Assessment Form | Faculty member/ self | Annually | Magnitude of knowledge, skill, and dispositions in selection and implementation of assessment of student learning strategies | 5= outstanding <br> 4= above average <br> $3=$ average <br> $2=$ below average <br> $1=$ needs <br> improvement | Means, medians, modes, standard deviations, and anecdotal notes | Faculty Observations, Faculty Self-Assessments, Faculty Growth Plan Assessment, Faculty Course Evaluation, Student End-of-Course Surveys, UR Observation Form, Supervising Teacher Assessment Form, Program completion, and Employment surveys |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Faculty Growth Plan | Faculty member/own growth plan's results | Annually | Self-report of completion of curriculum goals in prior year plan | 5= outstanding <br> 4= above average <br> 3= average <br> $2=$ below average <br> $1=$ needs <br> improvement | Means, medians, modes, standard deviations, and anecdotal notes | Faculty Observations, Faculty Self-Assessments, Faculty Growth Plan Assessment, Faculty Course Evaluation, Student End-of-Course Surveys, UR Observation Form, Supervising Teacher Assessment Form, Program completion, and Employment surveys |
| Student End-ofCourse Surveys | Students/ course assignments and professor | At conclusion of each course | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Magnitude of } \\ & \text { knowledge, skill, } \\ & \text { and dispositions } \\ & \text { (fairness) in } \\ & \text { selection and } \\ & \text { implementation of } \\ & \text { assessment of } \\ & \text { student learning } \\ & \text { strategies } \end{aligned}$ | 5= outstanding <br> 4= above average <br> 3= average <br> $2=$ below average <br> 1 = needs <br> improvement | Means, medians, modes, standard deviations, and anecdotal notes | Faculty Observations, Faculty Self-Assessments, Faculty Growth Plan Assessment, Faculty Course Evaluation, Student End-of-Course Surveys, UR Observation Form, Supervising Teacher Assessment Form, Program completion, and Employment surveys |


| University Representative Observations | University representative/ Student teacher | Three times each during EDU 575 and 579 for sec. teachers and three times each during EDU575,579, and 581 for elementary teachers | Magnitude of knowledge, implementation skill and dispositions (fairness) in selected assessment of student learning indicators | 5= outstanding <br> 4= above average <br> 3= average <br> 2= below average <br> $1=$ needs <br> improvement | Means, medians, modes, standard deviations, and anecdotal notes | Faculty Observations, Faculty Self-Assessments, Faculty Growth Plan Assessment, Faculty Course Evaluation, Student End-of-Course Surveys, UR Observation Form, Supervising Teacher Assessment Form, Program completion, and Employment surveys |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Supervising Teacher Assessment Form | Supervising teacher/ student teacher | Two times each during EDU 575 and 579 for sec. teachers and two times each in EDU575,579, and 581 for elementary teachers | Magnitude of knowledge, implementation skill and dispositions (fairness) in selected assessment of student learning indicators | 5= outstanding <br> 4= above average <br> 3= average <br> 2= below average <br> $1=$ needs <br> improvement | Means, medians, modes, standard deviations, and anecdotal notes | Faculty Observations, Faculty Self-Assessments, Faculty Growth Plan Assessment, Faculty Course Evaluation, Student End-of-Course Surveys, UR Observation Form, Supervising Teacher Assessment Form, Program completion, and Employment surveys |
| Program Completion Survey | Student/ program | During Last Course of Program | Assess program's contribution toward student's growth in knowledge of, skill in implementation of, and dispositions (fairness) toward selected assessment of student learning indicators | 5= outstanding <br> 4= above average <br> $3=$ average <br> 2= below average <br> $1=$ needs <br> improvement | Means, medians, modes, standard deviations, and anecdotal notes | Faculty Observations, Faculty Self-Assessments, Faculty Growth Plan Assessment, Faculty Course Evaluation, Student End-of-Course Surveys, UR Observation Form, Supervising Teacher Assessment Form, Program completion, and Employment surveys |

INSTRUCTION

| Instrument of Evaluation | Assessor/ Assessed | Frequency of Use | Assessment Measures | Performance Criteria | Data Reporting | Sources for Triangulation of Data |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Faculty Observation Form | Administrator/ Faculty | Annually | Magnitude of knowledge, skill, and dispositions in selection and implementation of instructional practices to facilitate student learning | 5= outstanding <br> 4= above average <br> 3= average <br> 2= below average <br> $1=$ needs <br> improvement | Means, medians, modes, standard deviations, and anecdotal notes | Faculty Observations, Faculty Self-Assessments, Faculty Growth Plan Assessment, Faculty Course Evaluation, Student End-of-Course Surveys, UR Observation Form, Supervising Teacher Assessment Form, Program completion, and Employment surveys |
| Faculty Growth Self-Assessment Form | Faculty member/self | Annually | Magnitude of knowledge, skill, and dispositions in selection and implementation of instructional practices to facilitate student learning | 5= outstanding <br> 4= above average <br> 3= average <br> 2= below average <br> $1=$ needs <br> improvement | Means, medians, modes, standard deviations, and anecdotal notes | Faculty Observations, Faculty Self-Assessments, Faculty Growth Plan Assessment, Faculty Course Evaluation, Student End-of-Course Surveys, UR Observation Form, Supervising Teacher Assessment Form, Program completion, and Employment surveys |
| Faculty Growth Plan | Faculty member/own growth plan's results | Annually | Self-report of completion of curriculum goals in prior year plan | 5= outstanding <br> 4= above average <br> 3= average <br> 2= below average <br> $1=$ needs <br> improvement | Means, medians, modes, standard deviations, and anecdotal notes | Faculty Observations, Faculty Self-Assessments, Faculty Growth Plan Assessment, Faculty Course Evaluation, Student End-of-Course Surveys, UR Observation Form, Supervising Teacher Assessment Form, Program completion, and Employment surveys |


| Student End-ofCourse Surveys | Student/ course assignments, professor | At conclusion of each course | Magnitude of knowledge, skill, and dispositions in selection and implementation of instructional practices to facilitate student learning | 5= outstanding <br> 4= above average <br> 3= average <br> 2= below average <br> $1=$ needs <br> improvement | Means, medians, modes, standard deviations, and anecdotal notes | Faculty Observations, Faculty Self-Assessments, Faculty Growth Plan Assessment, Faculty Course Evaluation, Student End-of-Course Surveys, UR Observation Form, Supervising Teacher Assessment Form, Program completion, and Employment surveys |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| University Representative Observations | University Representative/ student teacher | Three times each during EDU575,579, and 581 | Magnitude of knowledge, skill, and dispositions in selection and implementation of instructional practices to facilitate student learning | $5=$ outstanding <br> 4= above average <br> 3= average <br> 2= below average <br> $1=$ needs <br> improvement | Means, medians, modes, standard deviations, and anecdotal notes | Faculty Observations, Faculty Self-Assessments, Faculty Growth Plan Assessment, Faculty Course Evaluation, Student End-of-Course Surveys, UR Observation Form, Supervising Teacher Assessment Form, Program completion, and Employment surveys |
| Supervising Teacher Assessment Form | Supervising Teacher/ student teacher | Two times each during EDU575,579, and 581 | Magnitude of knowledge, skill, and dispositions in selection and implementation of instructional practices to facilitate student learning | 5= outstanding <br> 4= above average <br> 3= average <br> 2= below average <br> $1=$ needs <br> improvement | Means, medians, modes, standard deviations, and anecdotal notes | Faculty Observations, Faculty Self-Assessments, Faculty Growth Plan Assessment, Faculty Course Evaluation, Student End-of-Course Surveys, UR Observation Form, Supervising Teacher Assessment Form, Program completion, and Employment surveys |
| Program Completion Survey | Student/ program | During last course of program | Assess program's contribution toward student's growth in knowledge of, skill in implementation of, and dispositions | 5= outstanding <br> 4= above average <br> 3= average <br> 2= below average <br> $1=$ needs <br> improvement | Means, medians, modes, standard deviations, and anecdotal notes | Faculty Observations, Faculty Self-Assessments, Faculty Growth Plan Assessment, Faculty Course Evaluation, Student End-of-Course |


|  |  |  | toward selected <br> instructional <br> indicators |  | Surveys, UR Observation <br> Form, Supervising <br> Teacher Assessment Form, <br> Program completion, and <br> Employment surveys |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |

CLASSROOM MANAGEMENT

| Instrument of Evaluation | Assessor/ Assessed | Frequency of Use | Assessment Measures | Performance Criteria | Data Reporting | Sources for Triangulation of Data |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Faculty Observation Form | Administrator/ faculty | Annually | Magnitude of knowledge, skill, and dispositions in selection and implementation of classroom management strategies | 5= outstanding <br> 4= above average <br> $3=$ average <br> 2= below average <br> $1=$ needs <br> improvement | Means, medians, modes, standard deviations, and anecdotal notes | Faculty Observations, Faculty Self-Assessments, Faculty Growth Plan Assessment, Faculty Course Evaluation, Student End-of-Course Surveys, UR Observation Form, Supervising Teacher Assessment Form, Program completion, and Employment surveys |
| Faculty Growth Self-Assessment Form | Faculty Member/ self | Annually | Magnitude of knowledge, skill, and dispositions in selection and implementation of classroom management strategies | 5= outstanding <br> 4= above average <br> 3= average <br> 2= below average <br> $1=$ needs <br> improvement | Means, medians, modes, standard deviations, and anecdotal notes | Faculty Observations, Faculty Self-Assessments, Faculty Growth Plan Assessment, Faculty Course Evaluation, Student End-of-Course Surveys, UR Observation Form, Supervising Teacher Assessment Form, Program completion, and Employment surveys |
| Student End-ofCourse Surveys | Student/ professor | At conclusion of each course | Knowledge, skill, and dispositions in selection and implementation of classroom management strategies | 5= outstanding <br> 4= above average <br> 3= average <br> 2= below average <br> 1 = needs <br> improvement | Means, medians, modes, standard deviations, and anecdotal notes | Faculty Observations, Faculty Self-Assessments, Faculty Growth Plan Assessment, Faculty Course Evaluation, Student End-of-Course Surveys, UR Observation |


|  |  |  |  |  |  | Form, Supervising Teacher Assessment Form, Program completion, and Employment surveys |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| University Representative Observations | University representative/ student teacher | Three times each during EDU575,579, and 581 | Magnitude of knowledge, skill, and dispositions in selection and implementation of classroom management strategies | 5= outstanding <br> 4= above average <br> 3= average <br> 2= below average <br> $1=$ needs <br> improvement | Means, medians, modes, standard deviations, and anecdotal notes | Faculty Observations, Faculty Self-Assessments, Faculty Growth Plan Assessment, Faculty Course Evaluation, Student End-of-Course Surveys, UR Observation Form, Supervising Teacher Assessment Form, Program completion, and Employment surveys |
| Supervising Teacher Assessment Form | Supervising teacher/ student teacher | ```Two times each during EDU575,579, and 581``` | Magnitude of Knowledge, Skill, and Dispositions in selection and implementation of classroom management strategies | 5= outstanding <br> 4= above average <br> 3= average <br> 2= below average <br> $1=$ needs <br> improvement | Means, medians, modes, standard deviations, and anecdotal notes | Faculty Observations, Faculty Self-Assessments, Faculty Growth Plan Assessment, Faculty Course Evaluation, Student End-of-Course Surveys, UR Observation Form, Supervising Teacher Assessment Form, Program completion, and Employment surveys |
| Program Completion Survey | Students | During Last Course of Program | Assess program's contribution toward student's growth in knowledge of, skill in implementation of, and dispositions toward selected classroom management indicators | 5= outstanding <br> 4= above average <br> 3= average <br> 2= below average <br> $1=$ needs <br> improvement | Means, medians, modes, standard deviations, and anecdotal notes | Faculty Observations, Faculty Self-Assessments, Faculty Growth Plan Assessment, Faculty Course Evaluation, Student End-of-Course Surveys, UR Observation Form, Supervising Teacher Assessment Form, Program completion, and Employment surveys |

BUILDING LEARNING NETWORKS

| Instrument of Evaluation | Assessor/ Assessed | Frequency of Use | Assessment Measures | Performance Criteria | Data Reporting | Sources for Triangulation of Data |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Faculty Observation Form | Administrator/ Faculty | Annually | Magnitude of Knowledge, Skill, and Dispositions in building learning networks | 5= outstanding <br> 4= above average <br> 3= average <br> 2= below average <br> $1=$ needs <br> improvement | Means, medians, modes, standard deviations, and anecdotal notes | Faculty Observations, Faculty Self-Assessments, Faculty Growth Plan Assessment, Faculty Course Evaluation, Student End-of-Course Surveys, UR Observation Form, Supervising Teacher Assessment Form, Program completion, and Employment surveys |
| Faculty Growth Self-Assessment Form | Faculty Members/self | Annually | Magnitude of Knowledge, Skill, and Dispositions in building learning networks | 5= outstanding $4=$ above average $3=$ average $2=$ below average $1=$ needs improvement | Means, medians, modes, standard deviations, and anecdotal notes | Faculty Observations, Faculty Self-Assessments, Faculty Growth Plan Assessment, Faculty Course Evaluation, Student End-of-Course Surveys, UR Observation Form, Supervising Teacher Assessment Form, Program completion, and Employment surveys |
| Student End-ofCourse Surveys | Students/faculty | At conclusion of each course | Magnitude of Knowledge, Skill, and Dispositions in building learning networks | 5= outstanding <br> 4= above average <br> $3=$ average <br> $2=$ below average <br> $1=$ needs <br> improvement | Means, medians, modes, standard deviations, and anecdotal notes | Faculty Observations, Faculty Self-Assessments, Faculty Growth Plan Assessment, Faculty Course Evaluation, Student End-of-Course Surveys, UR Observation Form, Supervising Teacher Assessment Form, Program completion, and Employment surveys |


| University Representative Observations | University Representative/ Student teacher | Three times each during EDU575,579, and 581 | Magnitude of Knowledge, Skill, and Dispositions in building learning networks | 5= outstanding <br> 4= above average <br> 3= average <br> 2= below average <br> $1=$ needs <br> improvement | Means, medians, modes, standard deviations, and anecdotal notes | Faculty Observations, Faculty Self-Assessments, Faculty Growth Plan Assessment, Faculty Course Evaluation, Student End-of-Course Surveys, UR Observation Form, Supervising Teacher Assessment Form, Program completion, and Employment surveys |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Supervising Teacher Assessment Form | Supervising Teacher/ <br> Student teacher | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Two times each } \\ & \text { during } \\ & \text { EDU575,579, } \\ & \text { and } 581 \end{aligned}$ | Magnitude of Knowledge, Skill, and Dispositions in building learning networks | 5= outstanding <br> 4= above average <br> $3=$ average <br> 2= below average <br> $1=$ needs <br> improvement | Means, medians, modes, standard deviations, and anecdotal notes | Faculty Observations, Faculty Self-Assessments, Faculty Growth Plan Assessment, Faculty Course Evaluation, Student End-of-Course Surveys, UR Observation Form, Supervising Teacher Assessment Form, Program completion, and Employment surveys |
| Program <br> Completion <br> Survey | Student/program | During Last Course of Program | Assess program's contribution toward student's growth in knowledge of, skill in implementation of, and dispositions toward selected networking for learning indicators | 5= outstanding <br> 4= above average <br> $3=$ average <br> 2= below average <br> $1=$ needs <br> improvement | Means, medians, modes, standard deviations, and anecdotal notes | Faculty Observations, Faculty Self-Assessments, Faculty Growth Plan Assessment, Faculty Course Evaluation, Student End-of-Course Surveys, UR Observation Form, Supervising Teacher Assessment Form, Program completion, and Employment surveys |

DIVERSITY

| Instrument of Evaluation | Assessor/ Assessed | Frequency of Use | Assessment Measures | Performance Criteria | Data Reporting | Sources for Triangulation of Data |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Faculty Observation Form | Administrator/ faculty | Annually | Magnitude of knowledge, Skill, and Dispositions in designing curriculum and instruction to meet the needs of diverse learners | 5= outstanding <br> 4= above average <br> 3= average <br> 2= below average <br> $1=$ needs <br> improvement | Means, medians, modes, standard deviations, and anecdotal notes | Faculty Observations, Faculty Self-Assessments, Faculty Growth Plan Assessment, Faculty Course Evaluation, Student End-of-Course Surveys, UR Observation Form, Supervising Teacher Assessment Form, Program completion, and Employment surveys |
| Faculty Growth Self-Assessment Form | Faculty Members/ self | Annually | Magnitude of knowledge, Skill, and Dispositions in designing curriculum and instruction to meet the needs of diverse learners | 5= outstanding <br> 4= above average <br> 3= average <br> $2=$ below average <br> $1=$ needs <br> improvement | Means, medians, modes, standard deviations, and anecdotal notes | Faculty Observations, Faculty Self-Assessments, Faculty Growth Plan Assessment, Faculty Course Evaluation, Student End-of-Course Surveys, UR Observation Form, Supervising Teacher Assessment Form, Program completion, and Employment surveys |
| Student End-ofCourse Surveys | Students/ professor | At conclusion of each course | Magnitude of knowledge, Skill, and Dispositions in designing curriculum and instruction to meet the needs of diverse learners | 5= outstanding <br> 4= above average <br> 3= average <br> 2= below average <br> $1=$ needs <br> improvement | Means, medians, modes, standard deviations, and anecdotal notes | Faculty Observations, Faculty Self-Assessments, Faculty Growth Plan Assessment, Faculty Course Evaluation, Student End-of-Course Surveys, UR Observation Form, Supervising Teacher Assessment Form, Program completion, and Employment surveys |


| University Representative Observations | University Representative/ Student teacher | ```Three times each during EDU575,579, and 581``` | Magnitude of knowledge, Skill, and Dispositions in designing curriculum and instruction to meet the needs of diverse learners | 5= outstanding <br> 4= above average <br> 3= average <br> $2=$ below average <br> 1= needs <br> improvement | Means, medians, modes, standard deviations, and anecdotal notes | Faculty Observations, Faculty Self-Assessments, Faculty Growth Plan Assessment, Faculty Course Evaluation, Student End-of-Course Surveys, UR Observation Form, Supervising Teacher Assessment Form, Program completion, and Employment surveys |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Supervising Teacher Assessment Form | Supervising Teacher/ Student teacher | Two times each during EDU575,579, and 581 | Magnitude of knowledge, Skill, and Dispositions in designing curriculum and instruction to meet the needs of diverse learners | 5= outstanding <br> 4= above average <br> 3= average <br> 2= below average <br> 1= needs <br> improvement | Means, medians, modes, standard deviations, and anecdotal notes | Faculty Observations, Faculty Self-Assessments, Faculty Growth Plan Assessment, Faculty Course Evaluation, Student End-of-Course Surveys, UR Observation Form, Supervising Teacher Assessment Form, Program completion, and Employment surveys |
| Program Completion Survey | Students/ program | During Last Course of Program | Assess program's contribution toward student's growth in knowledge of, skill in implementation of, and dispositions toward meeting the needs of diverse learners indicators | 5= outstanding <br> 4= above average <br> 3= average <br> 2= below average <br> 1= needs <br> improvement | Means, medians, modes, standard deviations, and anecdotal notes | Faculty Observations, Faculty Self-Assessments, Faculty Growth Plan Assessment, Faculty Course Evaluation, Student End-of-Course Surveys, UR Observation Form, Supervising Teacher Assessment Form, Program completion, and Employment surveys |

TECHNOLOGY

| Instrument of Evaluation | Assessor/ Assessed | Frequency of Use | Assessment Measures | Performance Criteria | Data Reporting | Sources for Triangulation of Data |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Faculty Observation Form | Administrator/ faculty | Annually | Magnitude of knowledge, Skill, and Dispositions in selection and implementation of appropriate technologies for instruction and assessment of student learning | 5= outstanding <br> 4= above average <br> 3= average <br> 2= below average <br> $1=$ needs <br> improvement | Means, medians, modes, standard deviations, and anecdotal notes | Faculty Observations, Faculty Self-Assessments, Faculty Growth Plan Assessment, Faculty Course Evaluation, Student End-of-Course Surveys, UR Observation Form, Supervising Teacher Assessment Form, Program completion, and Employment surveys |
| Faculty Growth Self-Assessment Form | Faculty Members/ self | Annually | Magnitude of knowledge, Skill, and Dispositions in selection and implementation of appropriate technologies for instruction and assessment of student learning | 5= outstanding <br> 4= above average <br> 3= average <br> 2= below average <br> $1=$ needs <br> improvement | Means, medians, modes, standard deviations, and anecdotal notes | Faculty Observations, Faculty Self-Assessments, Faculty Growth Plan Assessment, Faculty Course Evaluation, Student End-of-Course Surveys, UR Observation Form, Supervising Teacher Assessment Form, Program completion, and Employment surveys |
| University Representative Observations | University Representative/ Student teacher | Three times each during EDU575,579, and 581 | Magnitude of Knowledge, Skill, and Dispositions in selection and implementation of appropriate technologies for instruction and assessment of student learning | 5= outstanding <br> 4= above average <br> 3= average <br> 2= below average <br> $1=$ needs <br> improvement | Means, medians, modes, standard deviations, and anecdotal notes | Faculty Observations, Faculty Self-Assessments, Faculty Growth Plan Assessment, Faculty Course Evaluation, Student End-of-Course Surveys, UR Observation Form, Supervising Teacher Assessment Form, Program completion, and Employment surveys |


| Supervising Teacher Assessment Form | Supervising Teacher/ <br> Student teacher | Two times each during EDU575,579, and 581 | Magnitude of Knowledge, Skill, and Dispositions in selection and implementation of appropriate technologies for instruction and assessment of student learning | 5= outstanding <br> 4= above average <br> 3= average <br> 2= below average <br> $1=$ needs <br> improvement | Means, medians, modes, standard deviations, and anecdotal notes | Faculty Observations, Faculty Self-Assessments, Faculty Growth Plan Assessment, Faculty Course Evaluation, Student End-of-Course Surveys, UR Observation Form, Supervising Teacher Assessment Form, Program completion, and Employment surveys |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student End-ofCourse Surveys | Students/ professor | Conclusion of each course | Magnitude of knowledge, Skill, and Dispositions in selection and implementation of appropriate technologies for instruction and assessment of student learning | 5= outstanding <br> 4= above average <br> 3= average <br> 2= below average <br> 1 = needs <br> improvement | Means, medians, modes, standard deviations, and anecdotal notes | Faculty Observations, Faculty Self-Assessments, Faculty Growth Plan Assessment, Faculty Course Evaluation, Student End-of-Course Surveys, UR Observation Form, Supervising Teacher Assessment Form, Program completion, and Employment surveys |
| Program Completion Survey | Students/ program | During Last Course of Program | Assess program's contribution toward student's growth in knowledge of, skill in implementation of, and dispositions toward technology indicators | 5= outstanding <br> 4= above average <br> $3=$ average <br> 2= below average <br> $1=$ needs <br> improvement | Means, medians, modes, standard deviations, and anecdotal notes | Faculty Observations, Faculty Self-Assessments, Faculty Growth Plan Assessment, Faculty Course Evaluation, Student End-of-Course Surveys, UR Observation Form, Supervising Teacher Assessment Form, Program completion, and Employment surveys |

DISPOSITIONS

| Instrument of Evaluation | Assessor/ Assessed | Frequency of Use | Assessment Measures | Performance Criteria | Data Reporting | Sources for Triangulation of Data |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| University Representative Observations | University Representative/ Student teacher | Three times each during EDU575,579, and 581 | Magnitude of student attainment of the TTT/CBE selected disposition indicators | 5= outstanding <br> 4= above average <br> 3= average <br> $2=$ below average <br> 1 = needs <br> improvement | Means, medians, modes, standard deviations, and anecdotal notes | Faculty Observations, Faculty Self-Assessments, Faculty Growth Plan Assessment, Faculty Course Evaluation, Student End-of-Course Surveys, UR Observation Form, Supervising Teacher Assessment Form, Program completion, and Employment surveys |
| Supervising Teacher Assessment Form | Supervising Teacher/ Student teacher | Two times each during EDU575,579, and 581 | Magnitude of student attainment of the TTT/CBE selected disposition indicators | 5= outstanding <br> 4= above average <br> 3= average <br> 2= below average <br> 1 = needs <br> improvement | Means, medians, modes, standard deviations, and anecdotal notes | Faculty Observations, Faculty Self-Assessments, Faculty Growth Plan Assessment, Faculty Course Evaluation, Student End-of-Course Surveys, UR Observation Form, Supervising Teacher Assessment Form, Program completion, and Employment surveys |
| Student <br> Dispositions Selfanalysis | Student/self | 1) At beginning of EDU575 for all TTT and CBE students. <br> 2) At the end of EDU575 for all CBE students, at the end of EDU579 for TTT secondary students, and at the end of EDU581 for TTT elementary students | Magnitude of student attainment of the TTT/CBE selected disposition indicators | 5= outstanding <br> 4= above average <br> 3= average <br> 2= below average <br> 1 = needs <br> improvement | Means, medians, modes, standard deviations, and anecdotal notes |  |

STUDENT TEACHING EXPERIENCE

| Instrument of Evaluation | Assessor/ Assessed | Frequency of Use | Assessment Measures | Performance Criteria | Data Reporting | Sources for Triangulation of Data |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student Teaching <br> Placement <br> Assessment Form | University representative/ student teaching site and supervising teacher | At beginning of each student teaching placement | Presence and magnitude of selected indicators | 5= outstanding <br> 4= above average <br> 3= average <br> 2= below average <br> 1= needs <br> improvement | Means, medians, modes, standard deviations, and anecdotal notes | Faculty Observations, Faculty Self-Assessments, Faculty Growth Plan Assessment, Faculty Course Evaluation, Student End-of-Course Surveys, UR Observation Form, Supervising Teacher Assessment Form, Program completion, and Employment surveys |
| Student Teaching <br> Experience <br> Assessment Form | Supervising teacher/ student teaching experience | $\begin{aligned} & \text { At end of } \\ & \text { EDU575, 579, } \\ & \text { and 581 } \end{aligned}$ | Presence and magnitude of selected indicator | 5= outstanding <br> 4= above average <br> 3= average <br> 2= below average <br> $1=$ needs <br> improvement | Means, medians, modes, standard deviations, and anecdotal notes | Faculty Observations, Faculty Self-Assessments, Faculty Growth Plan Assessment, Faculty Course Evaluation, Student End-of-Course Surveys, UR Observation Form, Supervising Teacher Assessment Form, Program completion, and Employment surveys |
| UR Training Assessment Form | University Representative/ Program Knowledge, technology \& reporting skills | Annually | Presence and magnitude of selected indicator | 5= outstanding <br> 4= above average <br> 3= average <br> 2= below average <br> $1=$ needs <br> improvement | Means, medians, modes, standard deviations, and anecdotal notes | Faculty Observations, Faculty Self-Assessments, Faculty Growth Plan Assessment, Faculty Course Evaluation, Student End-of-Course Surveys, UR Observation Form, Supervising Teacher Assessment Form, Program completion, and Employment surveys |


| Supervising Teacher Assessment Form | Supervising Teacher/ Program Knowledge, Supervisory duties, assessment \& reporting skills | Beginning of student teaching experience | Presence and magnitude of selected indicator | 5= outstanding <br> 4= above average <br> $3=$ average <br> 2= below average <br> $1=$ needs <br> improvement | Means, medians, modes, standard deviations, and anecdotal notes | Faculty Observations, Faculty Self-Assessments, Faculty Growth Plan Assessment, Faculty Course Evaluation, Student End-of-Course Surveys, UR Observation Form, Supervising Teacher Assessment Form, Program completion, and Employment surveys |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Program Assessment <br> Principal Licensure Program <br> 2005-2006

| Objectives (Conceptual Framework) | Assessment Criteria \& Procedures | Assessment Results | Use of the Results |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. A Vision for a Learning Community: A school leader promotes the success of all students by facilitating the development, articulation, implementation and stewardship of a vision of learning that is shared and supported by the greater school community. <br> Note: This is IPSB/ISLLC Standard \# 1 and the $1^{\text {st }}$ Domain in the Portfolio. | 1. An alumni survey was conducted in the Spring of 2004. Another is tentatively scheduled for the Spring of 2006. Mean scores related to the ISLLC performances, knowledge, and dispositions for this domain will be > 3.0 on a 4.0 scale. <br> Note: The next alumni survey will occur in the fall of 2006 and appear in 2007 Annual Assessment Report. <br> 2. Cumulative mean scores on portfolio exhibits for this domain will be $>3.0$ on a 4.0 scale. <br> Note: Data will be analyzed by mid-July. <br> 3. Cumulative mean scores on intern end of course surveys will be $>4.0$ on a 5.0 scale. <br> Note: This assessment will be compared to 2005 data in each of the six standards. | 1. Data will be available in Fall of 2006. Most current results are reported below for continuity. <br> Mean Scores: 5/04 <br> Performances: 3.50 <br> Knowledge: 3.35 <br> Dispositions: 3.40 <br> 2. All scores for program completers on portfolio exhibits for this domain will be $>3$ on a 4.0 scale. <br> 3. Summary end of course survey data shows that a score of 3.67 resulted on a variable in EDL612 related to linking continuous improvement actions to student learning results. The score on the related variable for EDL 625 is 4.89 . | 1. No program changes for 04-05 were warranted given the cycle of data collection. Data from Fall 2006 will be compared to 2004 to assess changes fro 2007. <br> 2. The data will be discussed with faculty to improve portfolio performance. The Philosophy Paper is a key element of Domain 1 and will be a focus for data analysis. <br> 3. This data will be discussed at a faculty meeting. This variable manifests itself primarily in the Continuous School Improvement Project, which is begun in EDL 612 and completed in EDL 625. The |


|  | 4. Inter-rater reliability data on portfolio exhibits will show a correlation >90\% for exhibits in this domain. <br> Note: EDL 625 finished in June. Exhibits have been scanned and are currently being scored by faculty. 2007 course schedule has been revised to end EDL 625 in mid-May. | 4. The process for conducting inter-rater reliability is in place. Systems are being developed to collect and report summary data. | improvement in the score at 625 indicates intern growth as the assignment is completed. The mean score of 3.67 on this variable was the lowest of all end of course survey scores. Faculty discussions, assessment day and faculty development will focus on Continuous School Improvement Project. <br> 4. The need to maintain content and format integrity in the portfolio will stressed at faculty meetings and training sessions for new faculty. Orientation for faculty and mentors has been improved. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Objectives (Conceptual Framework) | Assessment Criteria \& Procedures | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Assessment } \\ & \text { Results } \end{aligned}$ | Use of the Results |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. Instructional Leadership: A school leader promotes the success of all students and staff by advocating, nurturing, and sustaining a school culture and instructional program conducive to student learning and staff professional growth. | 1. Note: The next alumni survey will occur in the fall of 2006 and appear in 2007 Annual Assessment Report. <br> 2. Cumulative mean scores on portfolio exhibits for this domain will be $>3.0$ on a 4.0 scale. <br> Note: Data will be analyzed by mid-July. <br> 3. Cumulative mean scores on intern end of course surveys will be $>4.0$ on a 5.0 scale. <br> 4. Inter-rater reliability data on portfolio exhibits will show a correlation $>90 \%$ for exhibits in this domain. <br> Note: EDL 625 finished in June and exhibits are currently being scored by faculty. 2007 course schedule has been revised to end EDL 625 in mid-May. | 2. All scores for program completers on portfolio exhibits for this domain are $>3$ on a 4.0 scale. Systems are being developed to report summary data by domain and score source. <br> 3. The mean score was 4.55 in EDL 612 and 4.92 in EDL 625. <br> 4. The process for conducting inter-rater reliability is in place. Systems are being developed to collect and report summary data. | 2. Editing revisions were made on the portfolio question sets for this domain. <br> 3. Increasing "seat hours" in professional courses and editing course guides resulted from a factor analysis of written comments from students. <br> 4. The need to maintain content and format integrity in the portfolio was stressed at faculty meetings and training sessions for new faculty. Orientation for faculty and mentors has been improved. |


| Objectives (Conceptual Framework) | Assessment Criteria \& Procedures | Assessment Results | Use of the Results |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3. Managerial Leadership: A school leader promotes the success of all students and staff by ensuring management of the organization, operations, and resources for a safe, efficient and effective learning environment. | 1. Note: The next alumni survey will occur in the fall of 2006 and appear in 2007 Annual Assessment Report. <br> 2. Cumulative mean scores on portfolio exhibits for this domain will be $>3.0$ on a 4.0 scale. <br> Note: Data will be analyzed by mid-July. <br> 3. Cumulative mean scores on intern end of course surveys will be $>4.0$ on a 5.0 scale. <br> 4. Inter-rater reliability data on portfolio exhibits will show a correlation $>90 \%$ for exhibits in this domain. <br> Note: Data will be analyzed by mid-July. | 2. All scores for program completers on portfolio exhibits for this domain are $>3$ on a 4.0 scale. Systems are being developed to report summary data by domain and score source. <br> 3. The mean score was 4.01 in EDL 612 and 4.38 in EDL 625. <br> 4. The process for conducting inter-rater reliability is in place. Systems are being developed to collect and report summary data. | 2. Editing revisions were made on the portfolio question sets for this domain. <br> 3. Increasing "seat hours" in professional courses and editing course guides resulted from a factor analysis of written comments from students. <br> 4. The need to maintain content and format integrity in the portfolio was stressed at faculty meetings and training sessions for new faculty. Orientation for faculty and mentors has been improved |


| Objectives (Conceptual Framework) | Assessment Criteria \& Procedures | Assessment Results | Use of the Results |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4. School-Community Collaboration: A school leader promotes the success of all students by collaborating with families and community members, responding to diverse community interests and needs, and mobilizing community resources. | 1. Note: The next alumni survey will occur in the fall of 2006 and appear in 2007 Annual Assessment Report. <br> 2. Cumulative mean scores on portfolio exhibits for this domain will be $>3.0$ on a 4.0 scale. <br> Note: Data will analyzed by midJuly. <br> 3. Cumulative mean scores on intern end of course surveys will be $>4.0$ on a 5.0 scale. <br> 4. Inter-rater reliability data on portfolio exhibits will show a correlation $>90 \%$ for exhibits in this domain. <br> Note: Data will be analyzed by mid-July. | 2. All scores for program completers on portfolio exhibits for this domain are $>3$ on a 4.0 scale. Systems are being developed to report summary data by domain and score source. <br> 3. The mean score was 4.66 in EDL 612 and 5.00 in EDL 625. <br> 4. The process for conducting inter-rater reliability is in place. Systems are being developed to collect and report summary data. | 2. Editing revisions were made on the portfolio question sets for this domain. <br> 3. Increasing "seat hours" in professional courses and editing course guides resulted from a factor analysis of written comments from students. <br> 4. The need to maintain content and format integrity in the portfolio was stressed at faculty meetings and training sessions for new faculty. Orientation for faculty and mentors has been improved |


| Objectives (Conceptual Framework) | Assessment Criteria \& Procedures | Assessment Results | Use of the Results |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5. Integrity, Fairness, and Ethics: A school leader promotes the success of all students and staff by acting with integrity and fairness and in an ethical manner. | 1. Note: The next alumni survey will occur in the fall of 2006 and appear in 2007 Annual Assessment Report. <br> 2. Cumulative mean scores on portfolio exhibits for this domain will be $>3.0$ on a 4.0 scale. <br> Data will be analyzed by midJuly. <br> 3. Cumulative mean scores on intern end of course surveys will be $>4.0$ on a 5.0 scale. <br> 4. Inter-rater reliability data on portfolio exhibits will show a correlation $>90 \%$ for exhibits in this domain. <br> Note: Data will be analyzed by mid-July. | 2. All scores for program completers on portfolio exhibits for this domain are $>3$ on a 4.0 scale. Systems are being developed to report summary data by domain and score source. <br> 3. The mean score is 4.77 in EDL 612 and 4.83 in EDL 625. <br> 4. The process for conducting inter-rater reliability is in place. Systems are being developed to collect and report summary data. | 2. Editing revisions were made on the portfolio question sets for this domain. <br> 3. Increasing "seat hours" in professional courses and editing course guides resulted from a factor analysis of written comments from students. <br> 4. The need to maintain content and format integrity in the portfolio was stressed at faculty meetings and training sessions for new faculty. <br> Orientation for faculty and mentors has been improved |


| Objectives (Conceptual Framework | Assessment Criteria \& Procedures | Assessment Results | Use of the Results |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6. The Political and Cultural Context: A school leader promotes the success of all students and staff by understanding, responding to, and influencing the larger political, social, economic, legal, and cultural context. | 1. An alumni survey was conducted in the Spring of 2004. Another is tentatively scheduled for the Spring of 2006. Mean scores related to the ISLLC performances, knowledge, and dispositions for this domain will be $>3.0$ on a 4.0 scale. <br> 2. Cumulative mean scores on portfolio exhibits for this domain will be $>3.0$ on a 4.0 scale. <br> Note: Data will be analyzed by mid-July. <br> 3. Cumulative mean scores on intern end of course surveys will be $>4.0$ on a 5.0 scale. <br> 4. Inter-rater reliability data on portfolio exhibits will show a correlation $>90 \%$ for exhibits in this domain. <br> Note: Data will be analyzed by mid-July. | 1. Data will be available in Spring of 206. Most current results are reported below for continuity. <br> Mean Scores: 5/04 <br> Performances: 3.50 <br> Knowledge: 3.35 <br> Dispositions: 3.40 <br> 2. All scores for program completers on portfolio exhibits for this domain are $>3$ on a 4.0 scale. Systems are being developed to report summary data by domain and score source. <br> 3. The mean score is 4.88 in EDL 612 and 4.87 in EDL 625. <br> 4. The process for conducting inter-rater reliability is in place. Systems are being developed to collect and report summary data. | 1. No program changes for $04-05$ are warranted given the cycle of data collection. <br> 2. Editing revisions were made on the portfolio question sets for this domain. <br> 3. Increasing "seat hours" in professional courses and editing course guides resulted from a factor analysis of written comments from students. <br> 4. The need to maintain content and format integrity in the portfolio was stressed at faculty meetings and training sessions for new faculty. Orientation for faculty and mentors has been improved |



|  | 4b. IWU mean will exceed national mean <br> 4c. IWU median will exceed national median <br> 4 d . IWU average performance range will exceed national range. | 4c. IWU median is 180 . National median is 177. <br> 4d. IWU average performance range is 173 185. National is 170-183. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Spiritual Growth for Faculty | 1. Faculty members annually assess areas of personal and professional growth. The data identifies targeted areas of growth. The data indicates what percentage of faculty chose the area for growth initiative. <br> 2. Faculty members are observed by the director. Data from the observation are recorded in the Unit Assessment System and summary reports were analyzed. | 1. Spiritual Growth was mentioned on $70 \%$ of the growth plans. This is the first year for the data. <br> 2. The average score for faculty was 3.67 on the 4.0 scale. This is the first year for this data. | 1. To encourage commitment to spiritual improvement devotions in each workshop were expanded in the course guides to include the Maxwell Bible. <br> 2. Faculty demonstrated a focus on the spiritual area of teaching. The devotions and lessons were centered on a Biblical worldview. Devotions were expanded in 2006 to include the Maxwell Bible. |

$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|}\hline & & \begin{array}{l}\text { 3. Students assess faculty members } \\ \text { on end of course surveys on their } \\ \text { effectiveness of demonstrating } \\ \text { their Christian faith. }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { 3. The question on the } \\ \text { survey asks if the } \\ \text { instructor's Christian faith } \\ \text { was clear. The average } \\ \text { mean score was 4.955 on a } \\ 5.0 \text { scale }\end{array} \\ \hline \text { Technology Applied to Teaching Methods gave high } \\ \text { rabilities to demonstrate a } \\ \text { clear Christian faith. This } \\ \text { is the first year to add } \\ \text { Biblical Leadership } \\ \text { Truths from the Maxwell } \\ \text { Bible in each course } \\ \text { guide. }\end{array}\right]$

|  | 3. Faculty members are observed by the director. Data from the observation are recorded in the Unit Assessment System and summary reports were analyzed. <br> 4. Faculty members annually assess areas of personal and professional growth. The data identifies targeted areas of growth. The data indicates what percentage of faculty chose the area for growth initiative. | 3. Average score for faculty was 3.3 on a 4.0 scale. This was the first year for the data. <br> 4. Curriculum was mentioned as a need $\%$ of the time. This is the first year for the data. | 3. The data will be discussed with faculty members and the focus of faculty development. <br> 4. Additional focus will be given to the five year plan that is updated annually. The data will be discussed with faculty and a focus of faculty development. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Instruction | 1. Candidates assess faculty members on an end of course survey on their effectiveness of instruction. <br> 2. Faculty members provide feedback about instruction after teaching a course. <br> 3. Faculty members are observed by the director. Data from the observation are recorded in the Unit Assessment System and summary reports were analyzed. | 1. The average score for faculty was 4.5985 on a 5.0 scale. This is the first year for this data. <br> 2. Average score was 4.42 on a scale of 5.0. This was the first year for the data. <br> 3. The average score for faculty was 4.5985 on the 5.0 scale. This is the first year for this data. | 1. Candidates rated instructors' abilities high to effectively cover key components of the instruction. The data will be discussed with faculty during assessment day and help focus faculty development. <br> 2. Faculty members have indicated that instruction components are effective in measuring course objectives. The data validates that effectiveness. <br> 3. The data will be discussed with faculty members and the focus of faculty development. |


|  | 4. Faculty members annually assess areas of personal and professional growth. The data identifies targeted areas of growth. The data indicates what percentage of faculty chose the area for growth initiative. | 4. Instruction was mentioned as a need $60 \%$ of the time. This is the first year for the data. | 4. Additional focus will be given to the five year plan that is updated annually. The data will be discussed with faculty and a focus of faculty development. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assessment | 1. Candidates assess faculty members on an end of course survey on their effectiveness of faculty assessment. <br> 2. Faculty members provide feedback about assessment after teaching a course <br> 3. Faculty members are observed by the director. Data from the observation are recorded in the Unit Assessment System and summary reports were analyzed. | 1. The average score for faculty was 4.84 on a 5.0 scale. This is the first year for this data. <br> 2. Average score was 4.42 on a scale of 5.0. This was the first year for the data. <br> 3. The average score for faculty was 4.84 on the 5.0 scale. This is the first year for this data. | 1. Candidates rated instructors' abilities high to effectively cover key components of the assessment. The data will be discussed with faculty during assessment day and help focus faculty development. <br> 2. Faculty members have indicated that assessment components are effective in measuring course objectives. The data validates that effectiveness. <br> 3. The data will be discussed with faculty members and the focus of faculty development. |



## RNBS COMPLETION PROGRAM ASSESSMENT 2005-2006

| Objective | Assessment Criteria \& Procedures | Assessment Results | Use of the Results |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Apply relevant theories and research from nursing, life sciences, social sciences, the humanities, and Christian thought to the practice of nursing. <br> Assessed Spring 2002 | Mean scores on Employer Surveys will meet or exceed 4.0 on questions regarding nursing knowledge, ethics and practice. <br> 85\% of portfolio inclusions for NUR332 (Theorists Presentation), NUR436 (Research Proposal) and NUR350 (Written Book Review) will apply relevant theories and research as scored by Faculty with input from the Assessment Director. | 2004 *Employer Survey: <br> Knowledge \& Skills = 4.63 <br> Ethics $=4.83$ <br> Portfolio evaluation: <br> 83.3\% proficient | New course, NUR 350, Seminar in Nursing Leadership added to curriculum in 2003 to strengthen leadership from feedback on the Employer Survey. <br> Assess spring 2007 |
| 2. Assume professional responsibility for the design, management, and coordination of outcomeoriented comprehensive nursing care in an evolving health care system. Assessed Spring 2002 | Mean scores on Employer Surveys will meet or exceed 4.0 on questions regarding design, management, leadership of nursing. <br> Mean scores on Alumni Surveys will meet or exceed 4.0 on questions regarding leadership skills. <br> 85\% of portfolio inclusions for NUR 205 (Exemplar); and NUR 490 (Management Project Proposal) will demonstrate design and management of nursing care as scored by an assessment committee. | 2004 Employer Survey: Leadership = 3.96 <br> Management of <br> materials $=4.25$ <br> nursing care $=4.71$ <br> 2004 *Alumni Survey: <br> Leadership skills = 4.44 <br> Portfolio evaluation: <br> NUR205: 91\% proficient <br> NUR490 :95\% proficient <br> NUR370 :78\% proficient | Enhance NUR 490 Management Course, strengthening principles on management.(2004) <br> New Seminar in Nursing Leadership course. (2003) <br> NUR370: Prepare additional faculty guidelines on case management. <br> Changed portfolio inclusions (2003) <br> Assess spring 2007 |
| 3. Exhibit a commitment to lifelong learning and professionalism. Assessed Spring 2003 | 85\% of portfolio inclusions for NUR 490 (Professional Development Plan) will demonstrate commitment to lifelong learning and professionalism as scored by an assessment committee. | Portfolio evaluation: 72.2\% proficient <br> 2004 Alumni Survey: <br> Lifelong learning $=4.70$ <br> 2004 Alumni Survey indicates that at least $25 \%$ of graduates have enrolled in or completed a graduate degree within 5 years of graduation | Graduate nursing program offered in offsite model to serve this population in 2002 |


| 4. Manage information, technology, and human resources pivotal to health promotion and risk reduction across the lifespan. Assessed Spring 2003 | Mean scores on Employer Survey meet or exceed 4.0 on questions regarding managing information, technology and human resources. <br> 85\% of portfolio inclusions for NUR 490 (Analysis of Budget Workshop) and NUR 224 (Creative Presentation) will demonstrate ability to manage information, technology and human resources as scored by an assessment committee | 2004 Employer Survey: <br> Management of materials and human resources $=4.25$ <br> Portfolio evaluation: NUR 490: 95\% proficient | NUR 490 revised 2004 to strengthen focus on management. <br> Course <br> curriculum revisions for NUR 224 200405 FY includes Ergonomics. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5. Provide competent nursing care for diverse populations based upon ethical principles and Christian accountability. Assessed Spring 2004 | Mean scores on Employer Survey meet or exceed 4.0 on questions regarding ethics and cultural diversity. <br> Mean scores on Alumni Survey meet or exceed 4.0 on questions regarding ethics. <br> 85\% of portfolio inclusions for NUR 365 (Ethical analysis paper), NUR 401 (Cultural Assessment) will exhibit competent nursing care based on ethical principles and Christian accountability as scored by an assessment committee | 2004 Employer Survey: <br> Ethics $=4.83$ <br> Cultural diversity $=4.54$ <br> 2004 Alumni Survey: <br> Ethics and cultural diversity $=4.59$ <br> Spring, 2004 Portfolio Evaluation: NUR 365 Score: 87\% proficient - expectations met. <br> NUR 401 Score: 71\% proficient | NUR 401 <br> Review of cultural assessment guidelines for clarity and integration of faith based information, diversity and cultural emphasis. <br> NUR 401 revised July 2006 with integration of Evidenced Based Practice. Name changed to Transcultural Nursing. |
| 6. Demonstrate mastery of the scientific principles underlying technical skills. Assessed Spring 2004 | Weekly Pathophysiology Pre/Post Test will demonstrate a $20 \%$ increase in knowledge. <br> 85\% of portfolio inclusions for NUR 334 (Health History)will demonstrate mastery of scientific principles underlying technical skills as scored by an assessment committee | Mean Score increases:  <br> Test 1 $26.79 \%$ <br> Test 2 $19.28 \%$ <br> Test 3 $23.91 \%$ <br> Test 4 $17.02 \%$ <br>   <br> Spring 2004 Portfolio  <br> Evaluation:  <br> NUR334 Score: 67\%  <br> Guidelines need more clarity.  <br> Examples limited in number at  <br> review.  | Fall 2004 <br> NUR 334 - Course revision 2005 with new text to meet needs of a greater nursing model emphasis in the curriculum and provide more clarity in instructions and grading grids for health history and final physical exam. <br> Results more accurately reflect learning when guidelines for testing instructed facilitators not to share correct pre-test answers until after giving post test following class content presentation. Change made in 2005-2006 curriculum. |


| 7. Demonstrate critical thinking and effective communication in application of the nursing process. Assessed Spring 2005 | 85\% of journal entries from practicum (NUR 470, NUR478) <br> will demonstrate critical thinking and effective communication as scored by an assessment committee. | Spring 2005 Portfolio evaluation: (Core Groups \# 115-131) <br> NUR 470: 72\% proficient on Critical Thinking <br> $77 \%$ proficient on Communication <br> NUR478: 76\% proficient on Critical Thinking <br> 85\% proficient on Communication. | NUR470 revised with new text and expanded guidelines for journaling assignment. 2005 <br> NUR478 phased out of curriculum 2005 with replacement of 2 new courses Perspectives on Poverty and Health, and the second course - Alternative Medical and Healing Therapies to meet the need of educating for current health care delivery today. <br> 2006 This course name changed to Complementary and Alternative Therapies. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8. Display value-based behaviors in the practice of holistic care of individuals, groups, and communities. Assessment Spring 2006 | Mean scores on Alumni Survey will meet or exceed 4.0 on questions regarding valuebased behaviors in holistic care. <br> 85\% of portfolio inclusions for NUR 470 (Vulnerability Paper), NUR 332 (Spirituality Paper) and NUR365 (Clarification Values assignment) will display value-based behaviors as scored by an assessment committee. | 2004 Alumni Survey: Value based behaviors in holistic care $=4.33$ on a 1-5 scale. <br> Portfolio Evaluation: <br> Spring, 2006 <br> (Core Groups \#132-150) <br> NUR 470 76.92\% of portfolio inclusions demonstrated proficiency on Vulnerability Paper. <br> NUR 332 50\% of portfolio inclusions demonstrated proficiency on Spirituality Paper. | Criteria met on Alumni Survey. <br> NUR 470 revised with facilitator instruction to explain assignment and review Grading Grid before assignment due. <br> NUR 332 revised to include Mini Lecture on difference between religion and spiritual care. Intent was for students to submit assignment then discuss spiritual aspects in class to increase critical thinking. Revise so discuss in class then complete assignment following discussion. Review Grading Grid before assignment due <br> Paper due WS 1. Revised course so faculty clarifies assignment via email before class so students are clear on |


|  |  | expectations for assignment. Revised <br> assignment so World Changer focus is <br> clearer in assignment description. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | NUR 365 80\% of portfolio inclusions <br> demonstrated proficiency on Values <br> Clarification Paper. |  |

6/5/2006 Cynthia Tweedell/Carol Bence
*Employer \& Alumni Surveys based on a 1-5 scale: 1=Strongly Disagree, 2= Disagree, 3=Neutral, 4=Agree, 5=Strongly Agree

## World Changer Outcomes

## Associate Programs

| Objective | Assessment Criteria and Procedures | Assessment Results | Use of Results |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BASICS OF THE CHRISTIAN FAITH: A <br> knowledge of the basic themes and truths of the Old and New Testaments and the basic beliefs of Christianity; an awareness of Bible-based morality and social responsibility; and a reasoned understanding of a Christian worldview and the meaning of salvation as expressed in evangelical Christianity. | Pre/Post Ethics Writing Sample: When a sample of 50 papers are reviewed by faculty, $90 \%$ will meet or exceed the criteria of a $10 \%$ improvement in articulating a Christian worldview as indicated by a faculty-written scoring rubric. <br> Personal Learning Anthology: 90\% of BIL102 papers will show evidence of understanding of Christian world view as indicated by a faculty-written scoring rubric. | Ethics Writing Sample: 70\% had 10\% improvement in Christian worldview. |  |
| LIBERAL ARTS FOUNDATION: <br> A solid grasp of the general studies that have been associated with a liberal arts education. | Academic Profile: College Reading scores will meet or exceed scores from a national sample of comprehensive universities. <br> Personal Learning Anthology: When a sample of 50 Personal Learning Anthologies are reviewed by a team of faculty, $90 \%$ will demonstrate exposure to liberal arts instruction. | Academic Profile: Criteria met. IWU scores are compara-ble to a national sample. <br> PLA: Criteria met. | Academic Profile: No action needed at this time. <br> PLA: No action needed at this time. |
| COMPETENCY IN A DISCIPLINE: A competency in at least one major discipline of the University curriculum. | Baccalaureate Completion: $80 \%$ of graduates who subsequently enroll in a baccalaureate program will successfully complete within 10 years. <br> ASB: When a sample of 15 BUS274 papers are reviewed by 3 business faculty, $90 \%$ will demonstrate an ability to integrate basic business principles, concepts, and skills as indicated by faculty generated scoring rubric. <br> ASCIS: a When a sample of 50 are reviewed by faculty, $90 \%$ will demonstrate proficiency in CIS as indicated by faculty generated scoring rubric. | Completion: 2003 Graduation rate in Bachelor programs is $74 \%$. <br> ASB: 60\% scored "proficient". <br> ASCIS: New program. Due: 2009. |  |


| Objective | Assessment Criteria and Procedures | Assessment Results | Use of Results |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INTEGRATION OF KNOWLEDGE: The integration of knowledge with one's faith across academic disciplines. | Pre/Post Ethics Writing Sample: When a sample of 50 are reviewed by faculty, $90 \%$ will meet or exceed the criteria of a $10 \%$ improvement in ethical thought as indicated by a faculty written scoring rubric. | Ethics Writing Sample 80\% had 10\% improve-ment in ethical thought |  |
| CREATIVITY: The ability to make connections between various bodies of information and to create new forms and structures. | Pre/Post Ethics Writing Sample: When a sample of 50 are reviewed by faculty, $90 \%$ will meet or exceed the criteria of a $10 \%$ improvement in problem solving and decision making as indicated by a faculty-written scoring rubric. <br> Personal Learning Anthology: When a sample of 50 BUS 274 (ASB) or Project Management (ASCIS) papers are reviewed by faculty, $90 \%$ will demonstrate a creative approach to problem solving. | Ethics Writing Sample 80\% had 10\% improve-ment in ethical thought. |  |
| CRITICAL THINKING: The ability to process information both analytically and critically in order to determine the validity of competing truth claims, and to be an effective problem solver. | Academic Profile: Critical thinking scores will meet or exceed scores from a national sample of comprehensive universities. <br> Pre/Post Ethics Writing Sample: When a sample of 50 are reviewed by faculty, $90 \%$ will meet or exceed the criteria of a $10 \%$ improvement in critical thinking as indicated by a faculty-written scoring rubric. | Academic Profile: IWU critical thinking scores are slightly below national sample. <br> Ethics Writing Sample $80 \%$ had $10 \%$ improvement in ethical thought. |  |
| COMMUNICATION: The ability to read critically, to write clearly, and to communicate effectively in various other forms. | Essay Samples: When a sample of 50 are reviewed by faculty, $90 \%$ will have a score of 3 or more on each of 6 traits on a standardized writing rubric. <br> Academic Profile: College writing scores will meet or exceed scores from a national sample of comprehensive universities. <br> Pre/Post Oral Presentation: When a sample of 50 are reviewed by faculty, $90 \%$ will meet or exceed the criteria of a $10 \%$ improvement in oral communication skills as indicated by a faculty-written scoring rubric. | Essays: $62.5 \%$ had a score of 3 or more on all 6 traits. <br> Lowest trait was Conventions. <br> Academic Profile: <br> IWU scores are slightly below national sample. |  |


| Objective | Assessment Criteria and Procedures | Assessment Results | Use of Results |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SELF-DISCIPLINE: The development of personal habits of self-discipline and control. | Graduation Rates: $80 \%$ of APS students will develop the self discipline to persist to graduation. | Graduation: 2003 graduation rates for bachelor students are $74 \%$. |  |
| LIFELONG LEARNING: The ability to discover and process information as a selfdirected learner. | Academic Profile: Institutional scores will meet or exceed scores from a national sample of other comprehensive universities. <br> Personal Learning Anthology: inclusions will exhibit values and skills necessary for lifelong learning. | Academic Profile: Criteria met. IWU scores are comparable to a national sample. Lowest performance in math skills. | Academic Profile: No action needed at this time. <br> PLA: Need to reevaluate how assessment is done. |
| LEADERSHIP: The ability to effect change within various group settings; to martial resources to accomplish one's vision | Pre/Post Group Process Assessment: When a sample of 50 assessments are reviewed by faculty, $90 \%$ will meet or exceed the criteria of a $10 \%$ improvement in group process as indicated by a Group Processes Assessment in the middle and end of their program. | Group Process Assess-ment: $0 \%$ had a $10 \%$ improvement. |  |
| SERVANTHOOD: The ability to see and meet the needs of others. | ASCIS: COM115 Servant Leader Paper: When a sample of 50 are reviewed by faculty, $90 \%$ will demonstrate understanding of customer service within a servant leadership framework.. <br> Project Management Paper: When a sample of 50 are reviewed by faculty, $90 \%$ will be proficient on Customer Service Component. <br> ASB: When a sample of 15 BUS274 papers are reviewed by 3 business faculty, $90 \%$ will demonstrate an ability to integrate basic business principles, concepts, and skills as indicated by faculty generated scoring rubric. | ASCIS: Due 2004. <br> Project Management Paper: $60 \%$ scored "proficient". | ASCIS: New program, so no action is needed at this time. |
| COMMITMENT TO TRUTH: A commitment to the search for objective truth as revealed in the Bible and in God's created order. | Pre/Post Ethics Writing Sample: When a sample of 20 are reviewed by faculty, $90 \%$ will meet or exceed the criteria of a $10 \%$ improvement in Christian worldview as indicated by a faculty-written scoring rubric. | Ethics Writing Sample: 70\% had 10\% improve-ment in Christian world-view. |  |
| INCLUSION: The desire to dialogue across perspectives and cultures without surrendering a commitment to truth. | Diversity of Student Profile: 10\% of APS students will be of diverse race/ethnic background. | Diversity: FY 2000-01: 17\% of APS students of diverse background. |  |


| Objective | Assessment Criteria and Procedures | Assessment Results | Use of Results |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HUMAN WORTH: A belief that God created all life and therefore all people have worth. | Pre/Post Ethics Writing Sample: When a sample of 20 are reviewed by faculty, $90 \%$ will meet or exceed the criteria of a $10 \%$ improvement in Christian worldview as indicated by a faculty-written scoring rubric. | Ethics Writing Sample: $70 \%$ had $10 \%$ improve-ment in Christian world-view. |  |
| STEWARDSHIP: A valuing of the created order as a trust from God and a commitment to the wise use of all the resources of life. | Evidence of effective time management: Class attendance records and completion of courses. | Evidence: 2003 graduation rate is $74 \%$. |  |
| LIFE CALLING: The cultivation of a sense of purpose and a passion to pursue God's call. | Pre/Post Ethics Writing Sample: When a sample of 50 are reviewed by faculty, $90 \%$ will meet or exceed the criteria of a $10 \%$ improvement in articulating a Christian worldview as indicated by a faculty-written scoring rubric <br> BIL102 Papers: When a random sample of 50 papers are reviewed, $80 \%$ reflect a sense of God's call, as measured by a faculty-written scoring rubric. | Ethics Writing Sample: $70 \%$ had $10 \%$ improve-ment in Christian world-view. <br> BIL102 Papers: 100\% reflect life calling. |  |
| SERVICE: A commitment to view one's career as a vocation (calling) rather than an obligation or an end in itself. | Pre/Post Ethics Writing Sample: When a sample of 20 are reviewed by faculty, $90 \%$ will meet or exceed the criteria of a $10 \%$ improvement in Christian worldview as indicated by a faculty-written scoring rubric. | Ethics Writing Sample: $70 \%$ had $10 \%$ improve-ment in Christian world-view. |  |
| AGENTS OF CHANGE: A commitment to become an agent of God's redemptive plan | Pre/Post Ethics Writing Sample: When a sample of 50 are reviewed by faculty, $90 \%$ will meet or exceed the criteria of a $10 \%$ improvement in ethical thought as indicated by a faculty written scoring rubric. <br> Student/faculty reports of change agents. | Ethics Writing Sample: $80 \%$ had $10 \%$ improve-ment in ethical thought. |  |
| SELFLESSNESS: The motivation to put others before self. | Pre/Post Group Process Assessment: When a sample of 50 are reviewed by faculty, $90 \%$ will meet or exceed the criteria of a $10 \%$ improvement in group process as indicated by a Group Processes Assessment in the middle and end of their program. <br> Student/faculty examples of selflessness | Group Process Assess-ment: $0 \%$ had a $10 \%$ improvement. |  |

## Admissions

## Objective

Assessment Criteria \& Procedures Assessment Results Use of the Results

| Accurate, timely turn- <br> around of admissions files. | Criteria: 1 day turn-around. <br> Tracking system | 2005-06: 1.78 day <br> turnaround | Continue to refine <br> processes and maintain <br> accurate records to <br> determine turnaround <br> time. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## PROGRAM ASSESSMENT

Advising

| Objective | Assessment Criteria \& Procedures |  | Assessment ResultsUse of the Results |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. Students will be <br> properly advised for <br> graduation. | Graduation rates for <br> bachelor level programs will <br> be over 60\% (national average <br> for adults) <br> Evaluation Card after <br> advising session will confirm <br> good advising. | 2005-06: Bachelor <br> graduation rate is at <br> $74 \%$ | Advisors will continue <br> to work with individual <br> students to identify <br> degree completion plans <br> to ensure timely <br> graduation. |
| 2. Students will get quality <br> academic advising which <br> gives them a clear <br> understanding of what they <br> need in order to fulfill their <br> academic goals. | End of Program Survey: <br> Mean scores on questions of <br> academic advising will be <br> over 4.0 (of 5). | 2005-06: EOPS - <br> 3.97 | Have hired an additional <br> online advisor to reduce <br> the advisor:online <br> student ratio. Have put <br> in a request to hire an <br> advisor for the <br> Northwest area which <br> will reduce the <br> advisor:onsite student <br> ratio. |

## PROGRAM ASSESSMENT Chaplaincy

| Objective Assessment Criteria \& Procedures | Assessment Results | Use of the Results |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. Each APS student will <br> have access to a chaplain | End of Program Survey: <br> Student satisfaction with <br> accessibility of chaplain: <br> Mean: 4.0 out of 5.0 | 2005-06: Mean <br> score of 3.98 | Hired a Director of <br> Spiritcare in order to <br> increase emphasis on <br> program. Currently <br> reviewing all processes <br> and procedures, <br> breakdowns in systems, <br> lack of chaplain <br> recruiting, etc. <br> Comprehensive plan <br> will be put in place to <br> address deficiencies. |
| 2. Chaplain will be helpful <br> in crisis intervention. | End of Program Survey: <br> Student satisfaction with <br> helpfulness of chaplain: <br> Mean 4.0 out of 5.0 | 2005-06: Mean <br> score of 3.94 | Creation of cluster <br> chaplains in outlying <br> regions (to <br> covermultiple isolated <br> cohorts within a general <br> locale). Recruit local <br> pastors for crisis <br> intervention. |
| 3. Chaplain will formally <br> promote the mission/vision <br> of the university. | End of Program Survey: <br> Mean score on Chaplain <br> influence on spiritual growth: <br> 2.0 out of 3.0. |  |  |

## PROGRAM ASSESSMENT <br> Prior Learning Assessment

| Objective | Assessment Criteria \& Procedures | Assessment Results | Use of the Results |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. Provide a quality option <br> for earning elective credit | Criteria: 30 day processing of <br> files <br> Tracking system <br> Student satisfaction after <br> processing | 2005-06: 95\% meet <br> criteria |  |
| 2. Provide students with <br> excellent access to tools to <br> file for elective credit. | Student satisfaction after <br> processing | 2005-06: 5\% met some <br> progress being made <br> with portfolio manual <br> revisions. | Will continue to work on <br> refining the tools <br> available to students. |
| 3. Work with companies to <br> do pre-assessment for <br> certifications and training | Student satisfaction after <br> processing | 2005-06: 0\% met | Will develop a plan to <br> move forward with this <br> goal. |

Off Campus Library Services
Assessment Plan
2005/2006
Objective

1. To provide opportunities
for students to learn about for students to learn about how to do library research.

## Assessment Criteria

a. In addition to MEd, MBA, RNBS, BSBIS core groups by the end of 2001/2002 academic year, all new MSM core groups will additionally have a structured BI session.
b. By June 2005, provide online tutorials for all the major databases used by APS students.

## Assessment Procedures

*We have a Database Tutorial section on our OCLS web pages where several tutorials are available to students.
Assessment
Results
MSM starting course was
rewritten to include OCLS as
a part of the first course.
Impacts number of BI
sessions for OCLS
Although OCLS is
mentioned, facilitators are
not requesting
presentations, thus we are
seeing no significant
percentage differences with
MSM usage. (2005, 2006)

As of Jan 2003, PowerPoint tutorials were available from the OCLS website for specific instruction in each database available
*PowerPoints for bibliographic instruction are continuously updated and kept current
(2005) Still need to add
tutorials for MED.

## Use of the Results

Program improvement for MSM students in their information literacy skills.

## Need further curriculum

 adjustments. $(2005,2006)$BSM/BSBA/BSMK will have an established timeframe for OCLS to provide library instruction, starting with the 2006 fiscal year.

|  |  |  | Although not available publically for all students, new MED students (MED305 onwards) are receiving a link to introductory education databases. Then in a later class (EDU565) they receive more in depth face to face instruction. | This was as a result of EOC surveys where students wanted more library instruction in their first course. (Spring 2006) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. To provide all information to all students/faculty in a timely and professional manner. | a. Continue to maintain a response time of 48 hrs . for all reference requests and document delivery requests by assessing in 4/year and achieving a rate of $99 \%$ or higher. | Two times/year staff will monitor the response time for a 2 week period. | Nov. 1998 - 98.9\% <br> Feb. 1999 - 98.9\% <br> Oct. 1999-100\% <br> Feb 2000 - 99.4\% <br> May 2000-100\% <br> Oct $2000-100 \%$ <br> Mar 2001-100\% <br> Oct 2001 - 100\% <br> May 2002 - 99\% <br> Nov 2002-98\% <br> Oct. 2003 - 100\% <br> July 2004-100\% <br> Feb 2005-100\% <br> July 2005-100\% <br> Feb 2006-100\% | Continue to strive for a turnaround time of $+99 \%$.. |
| 3. To provide useful classroom bibliographic instruction in a face to face environment. | Scores of library related questions on the General Information Survey would meet or exceed 4.2 on a Likert scale of 5. | Monitor the GIS results on a quarterly basis. | Oct-Dec 2000: 3.9; 4.2 <br> Jan-Mar 2001: 3.9; 4.2 <br> Apr-Jun 2001: 3.9; 4.2 <br> Jul-Sept 2001: 4.0; 4.1 <br> Oct-Dec 2001: 4.0; 4.1 <br> Jan-Mar 2002: 3.8; 4.1 <br> Apr-Jun 2002: 3.8; 4.1 <br> Jul-Sept 2002: 3.9; 4.1 <br> Oct-Dec 2002: 4.0; 4.1 <br> Jul-Sept 2003: 4.0; 4.1 <br> (Most recent info available, 2006) | Program improvement |


|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4. Appropriate response to <br> students' call for quality <br> improvement. | Using anecdotal information <br> to take action upon those <br> improvements which are <br> within the ability of OCLS to <br> correct for the good of the <br> department as a whole. | Monitor email/verbal <br> comments/notes on EOC <br> surveys to improve services <br> of OCLS. | 2006-See comment above <br> on MED tutorials. | Program improvement. |
| 5. Monitor graduating <br> students' usage of OCLS to <br> determine where weakness <br> might be in individual <br> program's literacy <br> instruction. | Each graduation, the <br> graduating students are <br> compared to our active <br> working student files. | Assess each graduation | Aug 2003-71\% <br> Dec 2003-74\% <br> Apr 2004-77\% <br> Aug. 2004-82\% <br> Dec. 2004-78\% <br> April 2005-76\% <br> August 2005-82\% <br> Dec. 2005-82\% <br> April 2006-84\% | Strive for maintaining a <br> percentage of 70\% or better. |

## PROGRAM ASSESSMENT

## Graduate Ministries

| Goal | Objective | Assessment Criteria \& Procedures | Assessment Results | Use of the Results |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Students will achieve learning outcomes that enrich their ministries and thus the life of the church | 1a. Students will grow in their knowledge of the Word <br> 1b. Students will become reflective learners, able to study the disciplines required for effective ministry and effectively apply what they learn to their ministries. <br> 1c. Students will grow in spiritual character and commitment to integrity in ministry <br> 1d. Students will gain a solid foundation of doctrinal understanding that underpins their ministries <br> 1e. Students will master a core set of leadership skills that enable them to be Christ-like leaders of those to whom they minister. | Parish Survey: 80\% of those surveyed will note that the pastor has become more effective in preaching and leadership after taking courses at IWU. <br> Alumni Survey: 80\% of graduates will perceive that they: <br> 1. have achieved a new level of spiritual character <br> 2. can effectively apply what they have learned <br> Selected student papers: <br> When a representative sampling of 25 papers are reviewed by three faculty, $80 \%$ of will reflect a solid foundation of doctrinal understanding and leadership skills as evidenced by a faculty-designed rubric. |  |  |
| 2. Students will feel enriched and challenged by the courses and the learning environment. | 2a. Students feel their needs are met as they engage in ministry. 2b. Students learn what is useful for their ministries 2c. Students learn within a retreat-like setting where they are refreshed and challenged for ministry. <br> 2d. Students have a support network of people and services that inform, encourage, and assist them in their ministries. | Alumni Survey: 80\% of graduates will feel their needs are met, have a retreat-like experience and are supported by fellow students and staff. <br> End of Course Surveys: 80\% of students will feel their needs are met, have a retreat-like experience, and feel supported by fellow students and staff. | - |  |


|  |  | 2e. Students have a community <br> of colleagues and mentors that is <br> a safe place to bring the pain and <br> perplexities that go with <br> ministry; a community that will <br> pray for, understand, challenge, <br> support, and hold them <br> accountable to their calling. |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3.     <br> Enrollment will grow  Measure FTEs <br> Measure Headcounts   <br> IWUs graduate studies <br> in ministries program <br> will be an alternative to <br> traditional seminary <br> preparation     <br> 5.Graduate studies in <br> ministries will be good <br> stewards of university's <br> financial resources.     |  |  |  |  |

Department of Graduate Nursing Education

| Program Objectives | Nursing Administration Outcomes | Nursing Education Outcomes | Primary Care Outcomes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Enhance the development of the nursing profession through critical inquiry and the acquisition of advanced knowledge. | The student should be able to: | The student should be able to: | The student should be able to: |
|  | Critique and evaluate selected theories and research principles as related to the role of the nurse administrator. | Critique and evaluate selected theories and research principles as related to the role of the nurse educator. | Critique and evaluate selected theories and research principles as related to the role of the nurse practitioner. |
|  | Apply validated theory and research principles to the nurse administrator role. | Apply validated theory and research principles to the nurse educator role. | Apply validated theory and research principles to the nurse practitioner role. |
|  | Utilize critical and creative thinking for continued development and improvement of practice in nursing administration. | Utilize critical and creative thinking for continued development and improvement of practice in nursing education. | Utilize critical and creative thinking for continued development and improvement of practice in primary care nursing. |
| Demonstrate application of knowledge, cultural competence, advanced communication skills and advanced practice competencies in the care of and health promotion of clients in various health care settings. | Acquire core knowledge in health care policy, organizational behavior and financing of health care. | Acquire core knowledge in the delivery and assessment of health care education. | Acquire core knowledge in the provision of health care. |
|  | Utilize basic principles of fiscal management, budgeting and health economics in the health care delivery system. | Utilize basic principles of teaching, learning, program development and assessment in health care education. | Utilize basic principles of assessment, diagnosis and treatment in the delivery of health care. <br> Understand and respect |
|  | Understand and respect human/cultural commonalities and diversities. | Understand and respect human/cultural commonalities and diversities. | human/cultural commonalities and diversities. <br> Develop effective stewardship of |
|  | Develop effective stewardship of human, financial and health care resources. | Develop effective stewardship of human, financial and health care resources. | human, financial and health care resources. |


| DGSNE Program Objectives | NURA Outcomes | NURE Outcomes | PYC Outcomes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Demonstrate professional values in various health care settings. | Identify biblical principles to guide/inform ethical decisionmaking in the health care delivery system. | Identify biblical principles to guide/inform ethical decisionmaking in health care education. | Identify biblical principles to guide/inform ethical decisionmaking in health care delivery. |
|  | Demonstrate an understanding of decision making from a Christian worldview. | Demonstrate an understanding of decision making from a Christian worldview. | Demonstrate an understanding of decision making from a Christian worldview. |
|  | Integrate principles of servant leadership into the role of the nurse administrator. | Integrate principles of servant leadership into the role of the nurse educator. | Integrate principles of servant leadership into the role of the nurse practitioner. |
| Assume leadership and collaborative roles with other disciplines and health care delivery systems for the purpose of improving health care. | Define the role of the nurse administrator within various health care settings. | Define the role of the nurse educator within various health care settings. | Define the role of the nurse practitioner within various health care settings. |
|  | Synthesize prior and current knowledge to facilitate initial transition into the role of the nurse administrator. | Synthesize prior and current knowledge to facilitate initial transition into the role of the nurse educator. | Synthesize prior and current knowledge to facilitate initial transition into the role of the nurse practitioner. |
|  | Prepare to collaborate and negotiate for effective change within the health care system. | Prepare to collaborate and negotiate for effective change within the health care system. | Prepare to collaborate and negotiate for effective change within the health care system. |

## Graduate Counseling

## Assessment Plan

| Objectives | Criteria and Procedures | Assessment Results | Use of Results |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Students will demonstrate mastery of comprehensive counseling curriculum | a. $95 \%$ pass rate on certification exam(of those who choose to take it) <br> b. $90 \%$ Portfolio submissions reflect high comprehension as judged by a faculty designed rubric. |  |  |
| 2. Students will demonstrate competence in reading, interpreting, evaluating and applying scholarly research | a. $90 \%$ of students will produce a scholarly research proposal which is scored 2 out of 3 points on a facultywritten rubric. <br> b. $90 \%$ of research papers in portfolio will reflect mastery of reading, interpreting, evaluating and applying scholarly research | a. $10 \%$ scored proficient | APA Workshop |
| 3. Students will demonstrate clinical proficiency. | a. $90 \%$ of students will have $75 \%$ of clients report positive change on client survey. <br> b. $100 \%$ of students will score "proficient" on clinical skills as measured by a faculty designed rubric of clinical experience. |  |  |
| 4. Students will demonstrate multicultural awareness in clinical practice. | Students' post tests on Multicultural Competency Scale show $50 \%$ improvement (Multicultural Counseling Course) | 42\% Improvement in scores | Focus group with minority students |
| 5. Students will demonstrate professional integrity | 90\% of sampled graduates will be scored superior by supervisors and employers on professional integrity. | Employer Survey: 4.85 (of 5) on professional integrity. |  |
| 6. Students will demonstrate an ability to integrate faith with the counseling profession. | Portfolio submission: $90 \%$ of students will score "proficient" on a faculty-designed rubric for a faithintegration paper. | 44\% proficient |  |
| 7. Students will demonstrate proficiency in communication skills. | Papers, presentations, clinical portfolio: $90 \%$ of student will score "superior" on faculty-designed rubrics. | 40\% scored proficient $0 \%$ scored superior |  |
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## PROGRAM ASSESSMENT

## Ed.D. in Organizational Leadership

| Objective | Assessment Criteria \& Procedures | Assessment Results | Use of the Results |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Demonstrate personal authenticity in leadership. | Field Project: When a sample of 25 projects is reviewed by a faculty committee, $90 \%$ will demonstrate personal authenticity in leadership.** |  |  |
| 2. Practice the concepts, skills, and strategies required to build and lead a learning organization. | Field Project: When a sample of 25 projects is reviewed by a faculty committee, $90 \%$ will demonstrate the concepts, skills, and strategies required to build and lead a learning organization.** | - |  |
| 3. Demonstrate an understanding of organizational theory by building a servant organizational culture. | Comprehensive exams: All doctoral students will demonstrate an understanding of organizational theory. <br> Field Project: When a sample of 25 projects is reviewed by a faculty committee, $90 \%$ will demonstrate the ability to build a servant organizational culture.** |  |  |
| 4. Demonstrate the ability to be a servant leader to bring about positive innovation and change. | Field Project: When a sample of 25 projects is reviewed by a faculty committee, $90 \%$ will demonstrate the ability to bring about positive innovation and change.** |  |  |
| 5. Demonstrate an understanding of the implications of globalization and multiculturalism | Course Papers: When a sample of 25 papers from multi-cultural course is reviewed by a faculty committee, $90 \%$ will score high on an understanding of multicultural/global organization.** |  |  |
| 6. Application of ethical principles to administer an organization | Field Project: When a sample of 25 projects is reviewed by a faculty committee, $90 \%$ will demonstrate the ability to apply ethical principles to administer an organization.** |  |  |


[^0]:    ** as indicated by a faculty generated scoring rubric.

